## **Journal of Business and Economics Review**



Journal homepage: www.gatrenterprise.com/GATRJournals/index.html



J. Bus. Econ. Review 2 (1) 49 - 55 (2017)

# Valuing the Economic Impact of Flood Mitigation in Central Java, Indonesia

# Evi Gravitiani<sup>1\*</sup> and Suryanto<sup>2</sup>

1,2 Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Objective** – This research aims to map and identify the areas vulnerable to flood in Central Java Province, Indonesia, using Geography Information System (GIS) and value the economic impact on flood mitigation using Contingent Valuation Methods (CVM).

**Methodology/Technique** – The data regarding geographical, demographical, socio-economic, and topographical condition collected from local governments in Central Java Province, Meteorology and Geophysics Board, the Statistical Bureau, and Planning and Development Boar.

**Findings** – Spatial analysis with GIS provides evidence that Surakarta, Sragen, and Sukoharjo districts are more vulnerable to flood than other districts in Central Java Province. This evidence points the need to conduct a thorough study on these areas.

**Novelty** – This research contributes to empirical study, methodology, and policy implications.

**Type of Paper:** Empirical

Keywords: Spatial Analysis; Flood Impact; Local Wisdom; Economic Valuation; Willingness to Pay.

JEL Classification: C21, Q51, Q54.

1. Introduction

# Indonesia has a number of areas that are vulnerable to flood. Water debit is rising in several rivers during the rainy season. It may lead to harvest failures in rice fields, as well as damage the pattern of planting season and irrigation system, which in turn will negatively affect national supply of rice; the main component of Indonesian food (Khomsan, Riyadi & Marliyati, 2013).

The statistic shows that flood occurred in just over half of total districts in Central Java Province, including Banyumas, Brebes, Kebumen, Cilacap, Purworejo, Demak, Semarang, and Groboganin the last ten years. However, this study will focus on mapping the areas vulnerable to flood in Boyolali, Sukoharjo, Sragen, and Surakarta using Geography Information System (GIS).

Communities that have the sound management of resources can mitigate the impacts of the flood. The mitigation measures are more effective when performed at the community level, which directly experiences

Paper Info: Revised: September, 2016

Accepted: January, 2017

\* Corresponding author:

E-mail: e\_gravity2000@yahoo.com

Affiliation: Faculty of Economics and Business, UniversitasSebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

the risk of loss caused by the flood. To determine the willingness to pay (WTP) for flood mitigation, we include local wisdom in the analysis. The result of WTP estimation is affected by the residential location and the risk of losses caused by the flood. Besides that, we put special concern for demographic factors, as attitude and community behavior are found to affect people's WTP (Clarke & Wallsten, 2003).

#### 2. Research Method

#### 2.1. Mapping of Flood Vulnerable Areas

To map the areas vulnerable to flood, the data regarding geographical, demographical, socio-economic, and topographical condition are needed. The data regarding geographical condition contains the information regarding surface levels, green areas, catchment areas, rivers, waterfalls, land morphology, and land use. These data are collected from local governments in Central Java Province, Meteorology and Geophysics Board, the Statistical Bureau, and Planning and Development Board.

GIS is employed to determine the characteristics of regions vulnerable to flood. GIS transforms raw data into usable information through integration of several different data, analysis of the data, and provide a final result for decision-making (Tian, 1996) in Kuncoro (2002). Kuncoro (2002) states that some of the departments in Indonesia use GIS for different purposes. For example, the Department of Mining uses GIS to build a more efficient stock-procedure and to determine soil stock (East Asian Executive Reports, 1996).

#### 2.2. Valuating the impact of flood with socio-economic variables and local wisdom

Local wisdom is considered as an important variable in the valuation the impact of the flood. Local wisdom can be defined as activities using cognitive aspect to act toward objects or events in their spatial perspective. Wisdom can be defined as a deep understanding and realization of people, things, events, or situations, which will result in the ability to apply the perceptions, judgments, and actions that come along with this understanding. The word 'local' is often defined as limited interactions in a limited system in an area and involves a relationship between environment and people.

#### 2.3. Data

The primary data needed are a direct and indirect loss to show the impact of the flood in the areas vulnerable to flood. The data are gathered using surveys and direct interview with selected respondents using a questionnaire.

We collect secondary data from government institutions that have authority in handling flood and its mitigation. The institutions are the Regional Environmental Impact Control Board, the Statistical Board, the National Disaster Coordination Board, and the Regional Planning and Development Board. The secondary data comprise of the population of municipals and regencies, the characteristics of vulnerable areas, and socio-economy data in Central Java Province.

To collect secondary data regarding loss calculation caused by flood we employ literature review method. The primary data needed are collected from the samples that are selected using the strategic random sample (Scheaffer, Mendenhall Ott & Gerow, 2011). Sample selection is performed by dividing the houses in the studied areas into several blocks and then researchers select the samples randomly from each block. The number of samples needed for the analysis is determined based on Watson, Ballingsley, Croft and Hundsberger. (1993) formula:

$$n = \frac{4Z_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^2 p(1-p)}{(\omega)^2}$$

Notes:

 $\omega$  = tolerance of error from population mean on left limit (L) and right limit (R), so  $\omega = L + R$ 

q = residual of proportion (1-p)

p = proportion of prospected samples

 $Z_{1/2\alpha}$  = coefficient of confident

n = sample size

#### 2.4. Analysis Tools

Flood loss is computed using Contingent Valuation Method (CVM). This method is performed through a direct survey to determine respondent's WTP and Willingness to Accept (WTA). Compared to indirect method, CVM has two benefits. First, CVM can be used to calculate the direct and indirect value of environment simultaneously. Secondly, respondent's answers toward the WTP and WTA items reflect someone's value in flood mitigation. (Lee, 1999).

In this study, we proposed the following model: WTP = f (socio-economy, flood risk, local wisdom)

$$WTP_{i} = \alpha_{0} \sum_{l=1}^{11} \beta_{i} X_{i1} + \beta_{i} X_{i2} + \dots + \beta_{n} X_{in} + \varepsilon$$

Notes:

a. Dependent variable:

WTPmit = willingness to pay for flood mitigation

b. Independent variables:

Socio-economic: education (EDUC), income (INC), family size (FAM), and land ownership (OWN), and

Local wisdom: Personal saving from non-agriculture income (DMIT1), Storing part of agriculture products and sell it periodically (DMIT2), Informal loans

Flood risk: Flood losses (LOSS)

(DMIT3), and Diversification (DMIT4). These variables are dummy variables.

The regression function is as follows:

$$LWTPmit = \beta_0 + \beta_1 LINC + \beta_2 LOWN + \beta_3 LLOSS AGE + \beta_4 FAM + \beta_5 EDUC + \beta_6 DWIL1 + \beta_7 DWIL2 + \beta_8 DWIL3 + \beta_9 DMIT1 + \beta_{10} DMIT2 + \beta_{11} DMIT3 + \beta_{12} DMIT4 + \varepsilon$$

#### 3. Result

#### 3.1. Mapping of Flood Areas

Mapping of flood areas using GIS was performed to identify the areas vulnerable to flood in Central Java Province. The area lies along the catchment area of Bengawan Solo River. Bengawan Solo River is one of the major rivers in Java Island, flowing across East Java Province and Central Java, crossing three municipalities and 17 regencies. The river is about 19.778 km² wide, which is around 12% of total Java Island's width. The areas along the river bank are vulnerable to flood.

Bengawan Solo River flows in tropical area, with dry season stated in May and ended in October, while the wet season started in November and ended in April. The average humidity level is 80% and the average monthly temperature is 26.7°C (Public Work Department, 2008).

Vulnerable parts in Central Java Province in the northern areas are Pekalongan, Brebes, Kendal, Tegal, Kudus, Pemalang, Semarang, Batang, Demak, Blora, and Pati, while in the south are Banyumas, Cilacap, Kebumen, Magelang, and Purwokerto, and as shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Map of Areas Vulnerable to Flood in Central Java Province

This study focused on the middle area, which comprises of Surakarta, Sragen, and Sukoharjo. These areas have higher risk compared to the other areas, as can be seen in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Map of Study Area: Sragen, Sukoharjo, Surakarta

### 3.2. Willingness to Pay (WTP)

We proposed the following formula to assess the effect of WTP:

WTP = f (flood risk, socio-economy, local wisdom)

The model shows the result of the economic valuation as:

 $LWTPmit = 2.72 - 0.091LINC - 0.194LOWN + 0.58LLOSS - 0.004FAM + 0.013EDUC - 0.402DWIL1 - \\ - 2.668DWIL2 - 2.735DWIL3 + 0.143DMIT1 + 0.011DMIT2 + 0.074DMIT3 - 0.059DMIT4$ 

Table 1. Results of WTP for Flood Mitigation

Variable	Explanation	
LINC	Income	- 0.091*
Live	meome	(-2.374446)
LLOSS	Flood loss	0.587*
LLUSS	Flood loss	(11.21098)
LOWN	Land owner	- 0.194*
LOWN	Land Owner	(-5.804150)
EDUC	Education	0.013*
EDUC		(1.947245)
FAM	Family size	- 0.004*

		(-0.328495)
DWIL3	Surakarta Area	- 2.735*
DWILS	Surakarta Area	(-15.68592)
DWIL1	Sragan Araa	- 0.402*
DWILI	Sragen Area	(-2.561292)
DWIL2	Sukoharjo Area	- 2.668*
DWIL2	Sukoliarjo Area	(-13.79895)
DMIT2	Storing part of agriculture products and sell it	0.011**
DIVITIZ	periodically (dummy)	(6.1165)
DMIT1	Private saving from non-agriculture income	0.143**
DIVITT	(dummy)	(7.81370)
DMIT4	Diversification (dummy)	- 0.059**
DIVIT4	Diversification (duminy)	(-3.07879)
DMIT3	Informal loans (dummy)	0.074**
DIVITIS	mormai ioans (duminy)	(4.13895)
Constant		2.535
Constant		(5.908552)
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>		0.832509
F statistic		125.2613
Classical	Multi collinearity	-
Assumption Test	Heteroscedasticity	Hetero

<sup>\*\*</sup>significant on  $\alpha=10\%$ , \*significant on  $\alpha=5\%$ , = t statistic

The analysis results show that all independent variables affect the WTP for flood mitigation. The adjusted R-Squared (0.832509) indicates that 83% variations of the dependent variable (WTP) are caused by the variations in the independent variables, while the remaining 17% variation is caused by other variables outside the model.

Income level, land ownership, education level, and family size negatively affect the WTP. This means that the increase in income level, education level, landownership, and family size will cause a decrease in WTP. The reason for this is because people have low awareness on flood mitigation and they believe that it is government's responsibility to mitigate the risk of flood.

The study conducted by Lai and Hsieh (2007), Morone and Ozdemir (2006) and Suryanto, Cahyadin and Raharjo (2011) conclude that income has a positive influence on WTP. The loss also has the positive effect on WTP. People awareness will increase when they experienced losses caused by the flood. The other variable that positively affects WTP is the level of education. This means that if farmers have higher education level they will have broader experience and insight regarding mitigation measures. This finding is in line with the finding from Saptutyningsih & Suryanto (2008), Morone & Ozdemir (2006). Respondent's residence is found to cause the difference in WTP. Respondents who live in Sragen display different WTP from the respondents who live in Sukoharjo and Surakarta. This difference might be caused by the difference infrequency of flood occurrence in the area.

Local wisdom shows the significant statistical effect on WTP. Storing part of harvesting products and sell it periodically, Personal saving from non-agriculture income, and informal loans indicate a positive effect on WTP.

#### 4. Discussion

Mitigation for the areas vulnerable to flood is important. Local wisdom should be considered as one of mitigation strategies. Community empowerment and local wisdom are needed in creating more effective mitigation scheme for the flood. Storing part of harvesting products and sell it periodically is the local

wisdom for mitigation preferred by most farmers. However, this measure cannot guarantee farmers' welfare when the flood strikes. The farmers need to develop a more secure mitigation strategy such as disaster insurance. In deciding the alternatives for flood mitigation, Benefit-Cost Analysis can be used by the government. The government also needs to manage and adjust their policy scenario for the flood to the community needs.

#### 5. Conclusion

Sukoharjo, Sragen, and Surakarta are the districts vulnerable to flood in Central Java Province. Sragen and Sukoharjo have a significantly wider agriculture area than Surakarta in which most its area is covered with housing area, thus reducing the potential agricultural loss. The family size, level of education, income, landownership, flood area, flood loss, and local wisdom affect the WTP for mitigation. Local wisdom measures were taken by the farmers including storing part of agriculture products and sell it periodically, private saving from non-agriculture income, diversification of crops, and informal loans.

#### Acknowledgement

This research is funded by General Directorate of Higher Education, Ministry of Education and Culture of Indonesia, based on Contract Letter No. 026/SP2H/PL/Dit.Litabmas/III/2012, dated March 7th, 2012.

#### References

- Bengawan Solo River Basin Organization (2008) *Facilitating IWRM In Planning Facilitating and Implementation*, Ministry Of Public Works Republic Of Indonesia Directorate General Of Water Resources
- Clarke, G. R., & Wallsten, S. (2003). Do remittances act like insurance? Evidence from a natural disaster in Jamaica. *Development Research Group the World Bank*.
- Gravitiani, E. (2009). *Valuasi Ekonomi Gas Buang Kendaraan Bermotor Terhadap Kesehatan Masyarakat di Kota Yogyakarta* [Economic Valuation of Motor Vehicle Exhaustion Against Public Health in Yogyakarta] (Master's Thesis, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia).
- Tian, X. (1996). Geographic information systems and remote sensing. McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Khomsan, A., Riyadi, H. & Marliyati, S.A. (2013) Ketahanan Pangandan Gizi serta Mekanisme Bertahan pada Masyarakat Tradisional Suku Ciptagelar di Jawa Barat [Food Security and Nutrition and Coping Mechanism in Ciptagelar Traditional Community in West Java], *Jurnal Ilmu Pertanian Indonesia (JIPI)*, 18 (3), 186–193
- Kuncoro, M. (2002). Analisis Spasial dan Regional. Jogjakarta: AMP YKPN.
- Lee, J. A. (1999) Natural Resources and Environmental Economic, 2nd Edition, Pearson Education Limited. London.
- Lai, L. H., & Hsieh, H. Y. (2007). Assessing the demand factors for residential earthquake insurance in Taiwan: Empirical evidence on spatial econometrics. *Contemporary Management Research*, *3*(4).
- Morone, A. and O. Ozdemir (2006), Valuing Protection against Low Probability, High Loss Risks: experimental Evidence, https://papers.econ.mpg.de/esi/discussionpapers/2006-34.pdf
- Saptutyningsih, E & Suryanto. (2009), *Pemetaan dan Valuasi Ekonomi Bencana Banjir Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta*, Laporan Penelitian Hibah Bersaing DIKTI, Yogyakarta.
- Scheaffer, R. L., Mendenhall III, W., Ott, R. L., & Gerow, K. G. (2011). *Elementary survey sampling*. Cengage Learning.
- Suryanto, Cahyadin M. & Raharjo, M. (2011), *Identifikasi Wilayah Rawan dan Valuasi Ekonomi Mitigasi Gempabumi di Kabupaten Klaten* [Identification of Areas Prone to Earthquakes Mitigation and Economic Valuation in Klaten regency] Pusat Pengembangan Penelitian dan Pengabdian pada Masyarakat, Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Negeri Sebelas Maret, Surakarta.
- Watson, C.J., P. Ballingsley., D.J Croft., and D.V. Hundsberger (1993) *Statistic for Management and Economics*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA: Prentice Hall, Inc.
- Young, L.W. (1996), Taiwan adn WTO Leadership, East Asian Executive Reports.

# Valuing the Economic Impact of Flood Mitigation in Central Java Indonesia

by Evi Gravitiani

**Submission date:** 14-Oct-2020 09:30AM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 1414572127

File name: 3.1\_Valueing\_Economic\_Impact.pdf (2M)

Word count: 2507

Character count: 14128

# Journal of Business and Economics Review



Journal homepage: www.gatrenterprise.com/GATRJournals/index.html



J. Bus. Econ. Review 2 (1) 49 – 55 (2017)

# Valuing the Economic Impact of Flood Mitigation in Central Java, Indonesia

#### Evi Gravitiani1\* and Survanto2

1 1,2 F

1,2 Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

#### ABSTRACT

**Objective** – This research aims to map and identify the areas vulnerable to flood in Central Java Province, Indonesia, using Geography Information System (GIS) and value the economic impact on flood mitigation using Contingent Valuation Methods (CVM).

**Methodology/Technique** – The data regarding geographical, demographical, socio-economic, and topographical condition collected from local governments in Central Java Province, Meteorology and Geophysics Board, the Statistical Bureau, and Planning and Development Boar.

**Findings** – Spatial analysis with GIS provides evidence that Surakarta, Sragen, and Sukoharjo districts are more vulnerable to flood than other districts in Central Java Province. This evidence points the need to conduct a thorough study on these areas.

**Povelty** – This research contributes to empirical study, methodology, and policy implications.

Type of Paper: Empirical

Keywords: Spatial Analysis; Flood Impact; Local Wisdom; Economic Valuation; Willingness to Pay.

JEL Classification: C21, Q51, Q54.

#### 1. Introduction

Indonesia has a number of areas that are vulnerable to flood. Water debit is rising in several rivers during the rainy season. It may lead to harvest failures in rice fields, as well as damage the pattern of planting season and irrigation system, which in turn will negatively affect national supply of rice; the main component of Indonesian food (Khomsan, Riyadi & Marliyati, 2013).

The statistic shows that flood occurred in just over half of total districts in Central Java Province, including Banyumas, Brebes, Kebumen, Cilacap, Purworejo, Demak, Semarang, and Groboganin the last ten years. However, this study will focus on mapping the areas vulnerable to flood in Boyolali, Sukoharjo, Sragen, and Surakarta using Geography Information System (GIS).

Communities that have the sound management of resources can mitigate the impacts of the flood. The mitigation measures are more effective when performed at the community level, which directly experiences

Paper Info: Revised: September 2016

Accepted: January, 2017

\* Corresponding author:

E-mail: e\_gravity2000@yahoo.com

Affiliation: Faculty of Economics and Business, UniversitasSebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

the risk of loss caused by the flood. To determine the willingness to pay (WTP) for flood mitigation, we include local wisdom in the analysis. The result of WTP estimation is affected by the residential location and the risk of losses caused by the flood. Besides that, we put special concern for demographic factors, as attitude and community behavior are found to affect people's WTP (Clarke & Wallsten, 2003).

#### 2. Research Method

#### 2.1. Mapping of Flood Vulnerable Areas

To map the areas vulnerable to flood, the data regarding geographical, demographical, socio-economic, and topographical condition are needed. The data regarding geographical condition contains the information regarding surface levels, green areas, catchment areas, rivers, waterfalls, land morphology, and land use. These data are collected from local governments in Central Java Province, Meteorology and Geophysics Board, the Statistical Bureau, and Planning and Development Board.

GIS is employed to determine the characteristics of regions vulnerable to flood. GIS transforms raw data into usable information through integration of several different data, analysis of the data, and provide a final result for decision-making (Tian, 1996) in Kuncoro (2002). Kuncoro (2002) states that some of the departments in Indonesia use GIS for different purposes. For example, the Department of Mining uses GIS to build a more efficient stock-procedure and to determine soil stock (East Asian Executive Reports, 1996).

#### 2.2. Valuating the impact of flood with socio-economic variables and local wisdom

Local wisdom is considered as an important variable in the valuation the impact of the flood. Local wisdom can be defined as activities using cognitive aspect to act toward objects or events in their spatial perspective. Wisdom can be defined as a deep understanding and realization of people, things, events, or situations, which will result in the ability to apply the perceptions, judgments, and actions that come along with this understanding. The word 'local' is often defined as limited interactions in a limited system in an area and involves a relationship between environment and people.

#### 2.3. Data

The primary data needed are a direct and indirect loss to show the impact of the flood in the areas vulnerable to flood. The data are gathered using surveys and direct interview with selected respondents using a questionnaire.

We collect secondary data from government institutions that have authority in handling flood and its mitigation. The institutions are the Regional Environmental Impact Control Board, the Statistical Board, the National Disaster Coordination Board, and the Regional Planning and Development Board. The secondary data comprise of the population of municipals and regencies, the characteristics of vulnerable areas, and socio-economy data in Central Java Province.

To collect secondary data regarding loss calculation caused by flood we employ literature review method. The primary data needed are collected from the samples that are selected using the strategic random sample (Scheaffer, Mendenhall Ott & Gerow, 2011). Sample selection is performed by dividing the houses in the studied areas into several blocks and then researchers select the samples randomly from each block. The number of samples needed for the analysis is determined based on Watson, Ballingsley, Croft and Hundsberger. (1993) formula:

$$n = \frac{4Z_{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}^2 p(1-p)}{(\omega)^2}$$

Notes:

 $\omega \hspace{1.5cm} = tolerance \hspace{1mm} of \hspace{1mm} error \hspace{1mm} from \hspace{1mm} population \hspace{1mm} mean \hspace{1mm} on \hspace{1mm} left \hspace{1mm} limit \hspace{1mm} (L) \hspace{1mm} and \hspace{1mm} right \hspace{1mm} limit \hspace{1mm} (R), so \hspace{1mm} \omega = L + R \hspace{1mm}$ 

q = residual of proportion (1-p)

p = proportion of prospected samples

 $Z_{1/2\alpha}$  = coefficient of confident

n = sample size

#### 2.4. Analysis Tools

Flood loss is computed using Contingent Valuation Method (CVM). This method is performed through a direct survey to determine respondent's WTP and Willingness to Accept (WTA). Compared to indirect method, CVM has two benefits. First, CVM can be used to calculate the direct and indirect value of environment simultaneously. Secondly, respondent's answers toward the WTP and WTA items reflect someone's value in flood mitigation. (Lee, 1999).

In this study, we proposed the following model WTP =  $f(socio-economy, flood\ risk,\ local\ wisdom)$ 

$$WTP_i = \alpha_0 \sum_{l=1}^{11} \beta_l X_{i1} + \beta_l X_{i2} + \dots + \beta_n X_{in} + \varepsilon$$

Notes:

a. Dependent variable:

WTPmit = willingness to pay for flood mitigation

b. Independent variables:

Socio-economic: education (EDUC), income (INC), family size (FAM), and land ownership (OWN), and

Local wisdom: Personal saving from non-agriculture income (DMIT1), Storing part of agriculture products and sell it periodically (DMIT2), Informal loans

Flood risk: Flood losses (LOSS)

(DMIT3), and Diversification (DMIT4). These variables are dummy variables.



The regression function is as follows:

 $LWTPmit = \beta_0 + \beta_1 LINC + \beta_2 LOWN + \beta_3 LLOSS AGE + \beta_4 FAM + \beta_5 EDUC + \beta_6 DWIL1 + \beta_7 DWIL2 + \beta_8 DWIL3 + \beta_9 DMIT1 + \beta_{10} DMIT2 + \beta_{11} DMIT3 + \beta_{12} DMIT4 + \varepsilon$ 

#### 3. Result

#### 3.1. Mapping of Flood Areas

Mapping of flood areas using GIS was performed to identify the areas vul 13 rable to flood in Central Java Province. The area lies along the catchment area of 13 ngawan Solo River. Bengawan Solo River is one of the major rivers in Java Island, flowing across East Java Province and Central Java, crossing three municipalities and 17 regencies. The river is about 19.778 km² wide, which is around 12% of total Java Island's width. The areas along the river bank are vulnerable to flood.

Bengawan Solo River flows in tropical area, with dry season stated in May and ended in October, while the wet season started in November and ended in April. The average humidity level is 80% and the average monthly temperature is 26.7°C (Public Work Department, 2008).

Vulnerable parts in Central Java Province in the northern areas are Pekalongan, Brebes, Kendal, Tegal, Kudus, Pemalang, Semarang, Batang, Demak, Blora, and Pati, while in the south are Banyumas, Cilacap, Kebumen, Magelang, and Purwokerto, and as shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Map of Areas Vulnerable to Flood in Central Java Province

This study focused on the middle area, which is study focused on the middle area.

#### Evi Gravitiani and Suryanto




Figure 2. Map of Study Area: Sragen, Sukoharjo, Surakarta

#### 3.2. Willingness to Pay (WTP)

We proposed the following formula to assess the effect of WTP:

WTP = f (flood risk, socio-economy, local wisdom)

The model shows the result of the economic valuation as:

 $LWTPmit = 2.72 - 0.091LINC - 0.194LOWN + 0.58LLOSS - 0.004FAM + 0.013EDUC - 0.402DWIL1 - \\ - 2.668DWIL2 - 2.735DWIL3 + 0.143DMIT1 + 0.011DMIT2 + 0.074DMIT3 - 0.059DMIT4$ 

Table 1. Results of WTP for Flood Mitigation

Variable	Explanation	
LINC	Income	- 0.091* (-2.374446)
LLOSS	Flood loss	0.587* (11.21098)
LOWN	Land owner	- 0.194* (-5.804150)
EDUC	Education	0.013* (1.947245)
FAM	Family size	- 0.004*

		(-0.328495)
DWIL3	Surakarta Area	- 2.735*
	Surakarta Area	(-15.68592)
DWIL1	Sragen Area	- 0.402*
DWILI	Stagen Area	(-2.561292)
DWIL2	Sukoharjo Area	- 2.668*
DWILZ	Sukonarjo Area	(-13.79895)
DMIT2	Storing part of agriculture products and sell it	0.011**
DIVII 12	periodically (dummy)	(6.1165)
DMIT1	Private saving from non-agriculture income	0.143**
DIVITT	(dummy)	(7.81370)
DMIT4	Diversification (dummy)	- 0.059**
DMI 14	Diversification (duffilly)	(-3.07879)
DMIT3	Informal loans (dummy)	0.074**
DMITS	miornal loans (duminy)	(4.13895)
Constant		2.535
Constant		(5.908552)
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>		0.832509
F statistic		125.2613
Classical	Multi collinearity	-
Assumption		Hatana
Test	Heteroscedasticity	Hetero

<sup>\*\*</sup>significant on  $\alpha=10\%$ , \*significant on  $\alpha=5\%$ , = t statistic

The analysis results show that all independent variables affect the WTP for flood mitigation. The analysis results show that all independent variables affect the WTP for flood mitigation. The analysis results show that 83% variations of the dependent variable (WTP) are caused by the variations in the independent variables, while the remaining 17% variation is caused by other variables outside the model.

Income level, land ownership, education level, and family size negatively affect the WTP. This means that the increase in income level, education level, landownership, and family size will cause a decrease in WTP. The reason for this is because people have low awareness on flood mitigation and they believe that it is government's responsibility to mitigate the risk of flood.

The study conducted by Lai and Hsieh (2007), Morone and Ozdemir (2006) and Suryanto, Cahyadin and Raharjo (2011) conclude that income has a positive influence on WTP. The loss also has the positive effect on WTP. People awareness will increase when they experienced losses caused by the flood. The other variable that positively affects WTP is the level of education. This means that if farmers have higher education level they will have broader experience and insight regarding mitigation measures. This finding is in line with the finding from Saptutyningsih & Suryanto (2008), Morone & Ozdemir (2006). Respondent's residence is found to cause the difference in WTP. Respondents who live in Sragen display different WTP from the respondents who live in Sukoharjo and Surakarta. This difference might be caused by the difference infrequency of flood occurrence in the area.

Local wisdom shows the significant statistical effect on WTP. Storing part of harvesting products and sell it periodically, Personal saving from non-agriculture income, and informal loans indicate a positive effect on WTP.

#### 4. Discussion

Mitigation for the areas vulnerable to flood is important. Local wisdom should be considered as one of mitigation strategies. Community empowerment and local wisdom are needed in creating more effective mitigation scheme for the flood. Storing part of harvesting products and sell it periodically is the local

wisdom for mitigation preferred by most farmers. However, this measure cannot guarantee farmers' welfare when the flood strikes. The farmers need to develop a more secure mitigation strategy such as disaster insurance. In deciding the alternatives for flood mitigation, Benefit-Cost Analysis can be used by the government. The government also needs to manage and adjust their policy scenario for the flood to the community needs.

#### 5. Conclusion

Sukoharjo, Sragen, and Surakarta are the districts vulnerable to flood in Central Java Province. Sragen and Sukoharjo have a significantly wider agriculture area than Surakarta in which most its area is covered with housing area, thus reducing the potential agricultural loss. The family size, level of education, income, landownership, flood area, flood loss, and local wisdom affect the WTP for mitigation. Local wisdom measures were taken by the farmers including storing part of agriculture products and sell it periodically, private saving from non-agriculture income, diversification of crops, and informal loans.

#### Acknowledgement

This research is funded by General Directorate of Higher Education, Ministry of Education and Culture of Indonesia, based on Contract Letter No. 026/SP2H/PL/Dit.Litabmas/III/2012, dated March 7th, 2012.

#### References

Benga 16 Solo River Basin Organization (2008) Facilitating IWRM In Planning Facilitating and Implementation, Ministry Of Public Works - Republic Of Indonesia Directorate General Of Water Resources

Clarke, G. R., & Wallsten, S. (2003). Do remittances act like insurance? Evidence from a natural disaster in Jamaica. Development Research Group the World Bank.

Gravitiani, E. (2009). Valuasi Ekonomi Gas Buang Kendaraan Bermotor Terhadap Kesehata 24 Jasyarakat di Kota Yogyakarta [Economic Valuation of Motor Vehicle Exhaustion Against Public Health in Yogyakarta] (Master's Thesis, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia).

10 n, X. (1996). Geographic information systems and remote sensing. McGraw-11 Companies.

Khomsan, A., Riyadi, H. & Marliyati, S.A. (2013) Ketahanan Pangandan Gizi serta Mekanisme Bertahan pada Masyarakat Tradisional Suku Ciptagelar di Jawa 10 at [Food Security and Nutrition and Coping Mechanism in Ciptag 25 Traditional Community in West Java], Jurnal Ilmu Pertanian Indonesia (JIPI), 18 (3), 186–193

Kunco M. (2002). Analisis Spasial dan Regional. *Jogjakarta: AMP YKPN*.

Lee, J. A. (1999) Natural Resources and Environmental Economic, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Pearson Education Limited. London. i, L. H., & Hsieh, H. Y. (2007). Assessing the demand factors for residential earthquake insurance in Taiwan: Empirical dence on spatial econometrics. Contemporary Management Research, 3(4).

Morone, A. and O. Ozdemir (2006), Valuing Protection against Low Probability, High Loss Risks: experimental Evidence, https://papers.econ.mpg.de/esi/discussionpapers/2006-34.pdf

Saptutyningsih, E & Suryanto. (2009), Pemetaan dan Valuasi Ekonomi Bencana Banjir Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta, Laporan Penelitian Hibah Bersaing DIKTI, Yogyakarta.

Scheaffer, R. L., Mendenhall III, W., Ott, R. L., & Gerow, K. G. (2011). Elementary survey sampling. Cengage Learning.

Suryanto, Cahyadin M. & Raharjo, M. (2011), Identifikasi Wilayah Rawan dan Valuasi Ekonomi Mitigasi Gempabumi di Kabupaten Kla2n [Identification of Areas Prone to Earthquakes Mitigation and Economic Valuation in Klaten regency] Pusat Pengembangan Penelitian dan Pengabdian pada Masyarakat, Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Negeri Sebelas Maret, Surakarta.


Watson, C.J., P. Ballingsley., D.J Croft., and D.V. Hundsberger (1993) Statistic for Management and Economics. Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA: Prentice Hall, Inc.

Young, L.W. (1996), Taiwan adn WTO Leadership, East Asian Executive Reports.

# Valuing the Economic Impact of Flood Mitigation in Central Java Indonesia

ORIGIN	ALITY REPORT			
2 SIMILA	0% ARITY INDEX	17% INTERNET SOURCES	9% PUBLICATIONS	7% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMAF	RY SOURCES			
1	repositor Internet Source	y.upy.ac.id		2%
2	journal.u Internet Source			2%
3	Ani. "Dyr Consum	yana, Agustono, namics of Peasar ption in Central J Earth and Enviror	nts' Household ava", IOP Cor	d Rice nference
4	scholarw Internet Source	orks.gsu.edu		1%
5	Submitte Student Paper	ed to University o	f North Texas	1%
6	WWW.SCr Internet Source			1%
7	WWW.COL	ursehero.com		1%

8	Student Paper	1%
9	Submitted to Middle East College of Information Technology Student Paper	1%
10	journal.uny.ac.id Internet Source	1%
11	id.123dok.com Internet Source	1%
12	journal.unnes.ac.id Internet Source	1%
13	B S Waloejo, I R D Ari, M Anggraeni, D M Zakiyah, R Alfiah. "Role of social media towards flood disaster adaptation in Bojonegoro region of East Java, Indonesia", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2018 Publication	1%
14	Submitted to Universitas Jenderal Achmad Yani Student Paper	1%
15	journal.umy.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
16	sanitationupdates.blog Internet Source	<1%
17	jurnal.ugm.ac.id Internet Source	<1%



Exclude quotes Off Exclude matches Off

# **LEMBAR** HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW KARYA ILMIAH : JURNAL ILMIAH\*

	ıl Karya Ilmiah (artikel) : lah Penulis :	2 Orang (Evi Gravitiani, Suryant	to)		onesia	
Stati	us Pengusul :	Penulis pertama / <del>penulis ke</del> / <del>penulis korespondasi**</del>				
Iden	ititas Jurnal Ilmiah :	a. Nama Jurnal	: Journal of B	susiness and Ecor	omics Revie	ew
		b. Nomor ISSN	: 0128-259X			
		c. Volume,nomor,bulan,tahun	: Vol. 2, Issue	. 1, 2017		
		d. Penerbit	: GATR Ente	rprise		
		e. DOL artikel (jika ada)	:			
		f. Alamat web Jurnal	: http://www.g	atrenterprise.com	/GATRJourn	als/valuing%20
			the%20econd	omic%20impact%	20of%20floc	d%20mitigationin
			%20central%	620java_indonesia	.html	
		g. Terikdeks di Scimagojr/Tho	mson Reuter ISI kı	nowledge atau	di	**
Vat	egori Publikasi Jurnal Ilmiah	: Jurnal Ilmiah Internasio				
	·i * pada kategori yang tepat)	Jurnal Ilmiah Nasional		Coropanasi		
(bei	pada kategori yang tepat)	Jurnal Ilmiah Nasional		s di DOAJ, CABI.	COPERNIC	CUS**
T.T	"I Day Inian Day Davison	Juniar Imman I vasionali				
Has	il Penilaian Peer Review:		NUL I NA-L-	·	ah 20	
			Nilai Maksimal Jurnal Ilmiah 20 Internasional/ Nasional			
	Kon	iponen	Internasional/ Internasional	Terakreditasi	Nasional	Nilai Akhir Yang
					***	Diperoleh
	Yang	; Dinilai	bereputasi**			Diperoien
	Yang	; Dinilai	bereputasi**			Diperoleii
a.			bereputasi**			2
a. b.	Kelengkapan unsur isi artik	el (10%)				
b.	Kelengkapan unsur isi artik Ruang lingkup dan kedalan	el (10%) nan pembahasan (30%)	2			2
	Kelengkapan unsur isi artik Ruang lingkup dan kedalan Kecukupan dan kemutahira	el (10%)	2 6			2 5
b.	Kelengkapan unsur isi artik Ruang lingkup dan kedalan Kecukupan dan kemutahira (30%)	el (10%) nan pembahasan (30%) n data/informasi dan metodologi	2 6			2 5
b.	Kelengkapan unsur isi artik Ruang lingkup dan kedalan Kecukupan dan kemutahira (30%) Kelengkapan unsur dan kua	el (10%) nan pembahasan (30%) n data/informasi dan metodologi	2 6 6			2 5 5
b.	Kelengkapan unsur isi artik Ruang lingkup dan kedalan Kecukupan dan kemutahira (30%) Kelengkapan unsur dan kua Total = (100%)	el (10%) nan pembahasan (30%) n data/informasi dan metodologi ulitas terbitan/jurnal (30%)	2 6 6			2 5 5 5
b.	Kelengkapan unsur isi artik Ruang lingkup dan kedalan Kecukupan dan kemutahira (30%) Kelengkapan unsur dan kua	el (10%) nan pembahasan (30%) n data/informasi dan metodologi ulitas terbitan/jurnal (30%)	2 6 6			2 5 5 5
b. c. d.	Kelengkapan unsur isi artik Ruang lingkup dan kedalan Kecukupan dan kemutahira (30%) Kelengkapan unsur dan kua Total = (100%)	el (10%) nan pembahasan (30%) n data/informasi dan metodologi alitas terbitan/jurnal (30%) 7 =10.2 (Penulis Pertama)	2 6 6			2 5 5 5
d.	Kelengkapan unsur isi artik Ruang lingkup dan kedalan Kecukupan dan kemutahira (30%) Kelengkapan unsur dan kua Total = (100%) Nilai Pengusul = 60% x 1° tatan Penilaian artikel oleh Kelengkapan dan kesesuaian u	el (10%) nan pembahasan (30%) n data/informasi dan metodologi nlitas terbitan/jurnal (30%) 7 =10.2 (Penulis Pertama) Reviewer: Insur isi artikel:	2 6 6 6 20			2 5 5 5 17
d.	Kelengkapan unsur isi artik Ruang lingkup dan kedalan Kecukupan dan kemutahira (30%) Kelengkapan unsur dan kua Total = (100%) Nilai Pengusul = 60% x 1° tatan Penilaian artikel oleh Kelengkapan dan kesesuaian u	el (10%) nan pembahasan (30%) n data/informasi dan metodologi alitas terbitan/jurnal (30%) 7 =10.2 (Penulis Pertama) Reviewer:	2 6 6 6 20	introduction, metl		2 5 5 5 17
b. c. d.	Kelengkapan unsur isi artik Ruang lingkup dan kedalan Kecukupan dan kemutahira (30%) Kelengkapan unsur dan kua Total = (100%) Nilai Pengusul = 60% x 1' tatan Penilaian artikel oleh Kelengkapan dan kesesuaian u	el (10%) nan pembahasan (30%) n data/informasi dan metodologi nlitas terbitan/jurnal (30%) 7 =10.2 (Penulis Pertama) Reviewer: Insur isi artikel:	2 6 6 6 20 s dari jurnal yaitu			2 5 5 5 17
b. c. d.	Kelengkapan unsur isi artik Ruang lingkup dan kedalan Kecukupan dan kemutahira (30%) Kelengkapan unsur dan kua Total = (100%) Nilai Pengusul = 60% x 1° tatan Penilaian artikel oleh Kelengkapan dan kesesuaian und conclusion. Isi artikel sesi	el (10%) nan pembahasan (30%) n data/informasi dan metodologi alitas terbitan/jurnal (30%) 7 =10.2 (Penulis Pertama) Reviewer: nsur isi artikel: nat yang sudah mengikuti guideline nai dengan judul dan materi yang di lapembahasan:	2 6 6 20 s dari jurnal yaitu pahas cukup komp	rehensif	hod and data	2 5 5 17 analysis, result
b. c. d.	Kelengkapan unsur isi artik Ruang lingkup dan kedalan Kecukupan dan kemutahira (30%) Kelengkapan unsur dan kua Total = (100%) Nilai Pengusul = 60% x 1° tatan Penilaian artikel oleh Kelengkapan dan kesesuaian und conclusion. Isi artikel sesi	el (10%) nan pembahasan (30%) n data/informasi dan metodologi ulitas terbitan/jurnal (30%) 7 =10.2 (Penulis Pertama) Reviewer: nasur isi artikel: nat yang sudah mengikuti guidelinenai dengan judul dan materi yang di l	2 6 6 20 s dari jurnal yaitu pahas cukup komp	rehensif	hod and data	2 5 5 17 analysis, result
b. c. d. Cata. K. A. a. b. R. F.	Kelengkapan unsur isi artik Ruang lingkup dan kedalan Kecukupan dan kemutahira (30%)  Kelengkapan unsur dan kua Total = (100%)  Nilai Pengusul = 60% x 1'  tatan Penilaian artikel oleh Kelengkapan dan kesesuaian undartikel ini ditulis dengan formad conclusion. Isi artikel sesu Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman Penelitian tentang kerugian ka	el (10%) nan pembahasan (30%) n data/informasi dan metodologi alitas terbitan/jurnal (30%) 7 =10.2 (Penulis Pertama) Reviewer: nsur isi artikel: nat yang sudah mengikuti guideline nai dengan judul dan materi yang di lapembahasan:	2 6 6 6 20 s dari jurnal yaitu bahas cukup kompi	rehensif	hod and data	2 5 5 17 analysis, result
b. c. d. Cat a. K. A. a. b. R. F. F. F.	Kelengkapan unsur isi artik Ruang lingkup dan kedalan Kecukupan dan kemutahira (30%) Kelengkapan unsur dan kua Total = (100%) Nilai Pengusul = 60% x 1' tatan Penilaian artikel oleh Kelengkapan dan kesesuaian under kelengkapan dan kedalamar Penelitian tentang kerugian kasepembahasan tentang aspek ek	el (10%) nan pembahasan (30%) n data/informasi dan metodologi ulitas terbitan/jurnal (30%) 7 =10.2 (Penulis Pertama) Reviewer: nat yang sudah mengikuti guidelinenat yang sudah mengikuti guidelinenati dengan judul dan materi yang di bapembahasan: narena banjir sudah cukup banyak dila	2 6 6 6 20 s dari jurnal yaitu bahas cukup kompi	rehensif	hod and data	2 5 5 17 analysis, result

Alat analisis yang digunakan dalam penelitian ini adalah VCM dan regresi yang sudah sering dig tentang persepsi masyarakat atas kerugian terhadap banjir dengan mengunakan alat ini memang belum abnayk sehingga bisa menjadi kebaruan

d. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas terbitan:

Jurnal ini merupakan terbitan pertama sehingga belum bisa dilihat track record kualitasnya

e. Indikasi Plagiat:

Hasil turn it in menunjukkan nilai 24% sehingga belum ada indikasi plagiarism

f. Kesesuaian bidang ilmu:

Ekonomi lingkungan cukup sesuai dengan Ilmu ekonomi Pembangunan

Surakarta, 9 Desember 2020

Dr. Izza Mafruhah, SE, M.Si

NIP (97203232002122001

: Lektor Kepala : IV/b Jabatan Pangkat, Gol Ruang

Unit Kerja

: FEB

Bidang Ilmu

: Ekonomi Pembangunan

\*Dinilai oleh dua Reviewer secara terpisah

<sup>\*\*</sup>Coret yang tidak perlu
\*\*\*Nasional/terindeks di DOAJ,CABI,Copernicus

# LEMBAR HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW KARYA ILMIAH : JURNAL ILMIAH\*

Judul Karya Ilmiah (artikel) Jumlah Penulis

Valuing the Economic Impact of Flood Mitigation in Central Java Indonesia

2 Orang (Evi Gravitiani, Suryanto)

Status Pengusul Identitas Jurnal Ilmiah

Penulis pertama / penulis ke / penulis korespondasi\*\* Nama Jurnal a.

Journal of Business and Economics Review

Volume,nomor,bulan,tahun C.

Vol. 2, Issue. 1, 2017

d. Penerbit

b.

**GATR Enterprise** 

0128-259X

DOL artikel (jika ada) e.

Nomor ISSN

Alamat web Jurnal

http://www.gatrenterprise.com/GATRJournals/valuing%20

the%20economic%20impact%20of%20flood%20mitigationin

%20central%20java indonesia.html

Kategori Publikasi Jurnal Ilmiah (beri \* pada kategori yang tepat)

Teri	kdeks di Scimagojr/Thomson Reuter ISI knowledge atau	di**
	Jurnal Ilmiah Internasional / Internasional bereputasi.** Jurnal Ilmiah Nasional Terakreditasi	
	Jurnal Ilmiah Nasional/Nasional terindeks di DOAJ, CAB	I, COPERNICUS*

Hasil Penilaian Peer Review:

		Nilai Maksimal Jurnal Ilmiah 20			
Komponen Yang Dinilai		Internasional/ Internasional bereputasi**	Nasional Terakreditasi	Nasional	Nilai Akhir Yang Diperoleh
a.	Kelengkapan unsur isi artikel (10%)	2			2
b.	Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%)	6			5
c.	Kecukupan dan kemutahiran data/informasi dan metodologi (30%)	6			5
d.	Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas terbitan/jurnal (30%)	6			4
	Total = $(100\%)$	20			16

# Catatan Penilaian artikel oleh Reviewer:

- a. Kelengkapan dan kesesuaian unsur isi artikel: Artikel ini sudah sesuai dengan aturan standar penulisan ilmiah dalam Journal of Business and Economics Review (abstract, introduction, research method, result, discussion, and conclusion) (skor=2)
- b.Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan: Studi ini termasuk studi baru yang bertujuan memetakan dan mengindentifikasi daerah rawan banjir dengan menggunakan GIS dan metode penilaian kontingen (CVM) di Provinisi Jawa Tengah. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa Kota Surakarta, Kabupaten Sragen dan Kabupaten Sukoharjo mempunyai tingkat kerawanan lebih tinggi terjadinya banjir dibandingkan dengan kabupaten lain di Provinsi Jawa Tengah (skor=5)
- c. Kecukupan dan pemutakhiran data/informasi dan metodologi : Data yang dipergunakan dalam penelitian ini cukup mendalam dengan menggunakan data dengan menggunakan metode kuantitatif seperti GIS dan ekonometri. (skor =5)
- d.Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas terbitan : Jurnal yang menerbitkan ini adalah jurnal internasional yang bereputasi (skor=4)
- e. Indikasi plagiat: Berdasarkan tes semiliritas hanya sebesar/20%, maka dapat diinyatakan tidak ada indikasi plagiat.
- f. Kesesuaian bidang ilmu: Sangat sesuai bidang ekonomi/terutama dalam bidang ekonomi pembangunan

ırakarta,

Dukman Hakim., SE., M.Si., Ph.D

NIP. 196805182003121002

Jabatan

: Lektor Kepala

Pangkat, Gol Ruang

: Pembina / IV/a

Unit Kerja

: Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis

Bidang Ilmu

: Ekonomi Pembangunan

\*Dinilai oleh dua Reviewer secara terpisah \*Coret yang tidak perlu

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Nasional/terindeks di DOAJ,CABI,Copernicus