



*Jurnal*

# Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan

Accredited grade 2 by Kemenristekdikti  
Decree (SK) No. 10/E/KPT/2019

Vol 10 No 3  
2020  
ISSN - 2088-0685

## Current Issue

**Vol. 10 No. 3: Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan**

**Published: 2020-12-07**

### Articles

- [Does The Implementation Of SA 600 Cause Shifting Of Audit Clients And Reducing Audit Quality? Evidence From Indonesia](#)

Fitriany Fitriany, Andi Darmawan, Arie Wibowo, Syahril Ali, Sugeng Praptoyo

398-413

○ [PDF](#)

- [Does Spirituality In The Workplace Reflect The Relationship Between Accounting And Corruption Prevention?](#)

Pupung Purnamasari, Annisa Nadiyah Rahmani, Rudy Hartanto

414-429

○ [PDF](#)

- [Audit Quality Of Big Four Firms: Evidence From Client's Business Strategy](#)

Antonius Herusetya

430-443

○ [PDF](#)

- [Covid-19 Learning Concerning Financial Planning Importance and Household Accounting](#)

Whedy Prasetyo

444-457

○ [PDF](#)

- [Interpersonal Skill-based Audit Team Brainstorming: Solution for Fraud Detection](#)

Yusar Sagara, Putri Puspa Alkotdriyah

458-474

○ [PDF](#)

- [The Effect of Work-Life Balance and Spirituality on Ethical Behaviour of the Workplace](#)

Novera Kartikawati Sudiro, Zaenal Fanani

475-485

○ [PDF](#)

- [International Financial Reporting Standard Adoption: How Does It Happened?](#)

Roekhudin Roekhudin

486-496

○ [PDF](#)

- [The Effect of Intellectual Capital Performance on Company's Financial Performance](#)

Bella Pramathana, Wahyu Widarjo

497-508

○ [PDF](#)

- [Framing Effect And Belief Adjustment Model In Investment Judgment](#)

Luciana Spica Almilia, Putri Wulanditya, Riski Aprilia Nita

509-524

○ [PDF](#)

- [Sharia Internal Control towards Organizational Commitment: Implementation and its' Implication](#)

Abdul Azis

525-534

○ [PDF](#)

- [The Grounded Theory Perspective: Human Resource's Characteristics In Supporting Risk-Based Management Implementation](#)

Aviani Widyastuti, Mudrifah Mudrifah

535-552

○ [PDF](#)

- [Antecedents And Determinants Of Taxpayer's Perceptions Of Tax Evasion Behavior](#)

Nurul Shafika, Zaki Fakhroni

553-571

○ [PDF](#)

- [Ease of Use, Service Features and Information Security Risks Against Interest in Transactions Financial Technology during the Covid-19 Pandemic](#)

Sri Wahjuni Latifah, Hanisyatul Komariyah

572-583

○ [PDF](#)

- [The Testing Model of Financial Management Ability of Small and Medium Enterprises \(SMEs\)](#)

Maya Sari, Irfan Irfan, Jufrizen Jufrizen, Leni Deli

584-601

○ [PDF](#)

- [THE ROLE OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IMPROVING VOLUNTARY DISCLOSURE](#)

Gina Harventy

602-613

○ [PDF](#)

## Editorial Team

### Editor in Chief



**Ihyaul Ulum**

- Scopus ID : 57191663625
- University of Muhammadiyah Malang

### Managing Editor



**Hafiez Sofyani**

- Scopus ID : 57203309417
- University of Muhammadiyah Malang



**Firda Ayu Amalia**

- Sinta ID : 6650446
- University of Muhammadiyah Malang

### Section Editor



**Gina Harventy**

- Sinta ID : 6008007
- University of Muhammadiyah Malang



**Dwi Irawan**

- Sinta ID : 6710162
- University of Muhammadiyah Malang



**Aviani Widyastuti**

- Sinta ID : 6650439
- University of Muhammadiyah Malang



**Tri Wahyu Oktavendi**

- Sinta ID : 6650158
- University of Muhammadiyah Malang



**Ike Arisanti**

- Sinta ID : 6200700
- University of Muhammadiyah Malang



**Novitasari Agus Saputri**

- Sinta ID : 6475213
- University of Muhammadiyah Malang



**Website:**

[ejournal.umm.ac.id/index.php/jrak](http://ejournal.umm.ac.id/index.php/jrak)

**\*Correspondence:**

[widarjo@staff.uns.ac.id](mailto:widarjo@staff.uns.ac.id)

**DOI:** [10.22219/jrak.v10i3.13273](https://doi.org/10.22219/jrak.v10i3.13273)

**Citation:**

Pramathana, B., & Widarjo, W. (2020). The Effect Of Intellectual Capital Performance On The Company's Financial Performance. *Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan*, 10(3), 497-508.

**Article Process**

**Submitted:**

September 30, 2020

**Reviewed:**

September 30, 2020

**Revised:**

December 11, 2020

**Accepted:**

December 12, 2020

**Published:**

December 26, 2020

**Office:**

Department of Accounting  
University of  
Muhammadiyah Malang  
GKB 2 Floor 3.  
Jalan Raya Tlogomas 246,  
Malang, East Java,  
Indonesia

P-ISSN: 2615-2223

E-ISSN: 2088-0685

Article Type: Research Paper

## THE EFFECT OF INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL PERFORMANCE ON THE COMPANY'S FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

Bella Pramathana<sup>1</sup>, Wahyu Widarjo<sup>2\*</sup>

**Afiliation:**

<sup>1,2</sup>Accounting Department, Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Central Java, Indonesia

### ABSTRACT

This study analyzes the effect of intellectual capital performance on the company's financial performance in the current year and the following year. We use the Modified Value Added Coefficient (MVAIC) to measure the performance of a company's intellectual capital. The research sample is companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (BEI) 2015-2017 which are included in the High-IC intensive industries category based on the classification of the Global Industries Classification Standard (GICS). Samples were obtained using purposive sampling technique in order to obtain 112 companies with a total of 336 observations. The data analysis technique was conducted by using panel data regression. This study results indicate that intellectual capital performance has a positive and significant effect on the company's financial performance for the current year. However, it does not significantly affect the company's financial performance in the following year. This study contributes to the accounting literature development, especially the important role of intellectual capital in improving the financial performance of a company.

**KEYWORDS:** Company's Financial Performance; High-IC Intensive Industry; Intellectual Capital Performance; MVAIC .



## INTRODUCTION

Indonesia ranks 36th in the Global Competitiveness Index (GCI) in the Global Competitiveness Report 2016-2017 published by the World Economic Forum (Schwab, 2017). The position is the highest for several recent years. However, Indonesia's position is still below other neighboring countries such as Singapore, Malaysia, and Thailand. Whereas, if viewed from several aspects such as area size, number of Human Resources, and availability of Natural Resources, Indonesia should be able to be superior to these countries. Globalization continues to encourage development until it reaches the industrial era 4.0. Along with this development, business competition has become increasingly fierce, especially after the implementation of the ASEAN Economic Community in early 2016.

President Joko Widodo made adjustments to the President's vision for the 2019-2024 period by concentrating on developing Human Resources by improving the quality of education and talent management. In the previous period, the concentration of the President's vision emphasized the development of infrastructure or tangible assets (Gewati, 2019). This way was conducted as the first step to increase competitive advantage and bring Indonesia to compete globally.

The adjustment of strategy by improving the quality of intangible resources by the President is also carried out by companies in Indonesia. In this case, the intangible resources are referred to the intellectual capital (abbreviated as IC). Based on data published by the Indonesia Stock Exchange through the IDX factbook, high-technology companies that are included in the high IC intensity group of the highest rank with the largest market capitalization. It shows that IC has a high potential to improve company performance and boosts the country's economy (Indonesia Stock Exchange, 2015).

Pulic & Kolakovic stated that every company has unique knowledge, skills, values, and intangible resource solutions which can be used to create excellence competitive and good long-term company performance (Ulum, Kharismawati, & Syam, 2017). The science and education system stated that IC determines a factor production but human knowledge does not have to dominate in the structure, because in industry 4.0 one of the most popular factors is technology with a broad practical perspective and implementation (Vodenko & Lyausheva, 2020). IC is a collection of knowledge and information in a companies or organizations that help increase the value of products and services through knowledge, not capital financial (Allameh, 2018).

Resources Based Theory (RBT) sees that company resources are the main controller behind the company's performance excellence and competitiveness (Riahi-Belkaoui, 2003). RBT was first delivered by Wernelfelt (1984). RBT focuses on the concept of a competitive advantage which is difficult for other companies to imitate. Each company has unique knowledge, skills, values, and intangible resources that can be converted into a market value that is reflected in the company's share price in the capital market.

Intangible resource management can help companies to achieve a competitive advantage, increase productivity, and market value. Barney (1991) suggests the conditions that must be met in order that a resource can be said to be a resource that has a competitive advantage. These conditions include valuable, rare, difficult to imitate, and difficult to replace. Based on RBT theory, IC is considered to fulfill as a unique resource and it can provide value-added for the company. Therefore, companies that have high IC intensity will have high performance.

Experts have developed a method for measuring IC that is owned by the company. One of the popular model IC measurement is the Value Added Intellectual Capital (VAIC) developed by Pulic (2000). VAIC does not measure IC, but only measures the impact caused by IC management (Ulum, Ghozali, and Chariri, 2008). Ulum developed an IC performance measurement model based on the model developed by Pulic to be Modified-VAIC (MVAIC) by adding a Relational Capital Efficiency component. Relational Capital or relationships with customers is vital for the company because it has the goal of promoting the company which can be measured by advertising costs (Ulum, Ghozali, and Agus, 2014).

The relationship between IC and company performance has been proven empirically by several previous researchers. Ulum, Kharismawati, and Syam (2017) examine the effect of MVAIC on the company's traditional financial performance and found that MVAIC has a positive effect on the company's financial performance. Research of Hermawan, Hanun, and Pamungkas (2019) on financial sector companies with high IC intensity found that VAIC has a positive effect on financial performance and market performance. The same result is shown by Smriti and Das (2018) on companies in India. However, researches are conducted by Maditinos *et al.* (2011) and Mehralian *et al.* (2012) found that there is no relationship between IC and firm performance. Even research by Bentoen (2012) found that VAIC has a negative effect on the company's financial performance. The inconsistent research result caused by the different samples used in the study and the economic system by countries and policies adopted by companies in the sample also different.

Although many researchers examined the relationship between IC and company performance, the results are still inconsistent. In addition, not many researchers have conducted analyzes on companies with high IC intensity (High-IC Intensive) in developing countries that have large human resource potential such as Indonesia. Therefore, this study provides to prove the effect of IC on the financial performance of High-IC-intensive companies in Indonesia. Furthermore, this study also analyzes the effect of IC on financial performance in the future. It is important to provide investors in the capital market with an overview of the prospects for future company performance related to IC management.

This study also conducted a comparative analysis of the effect of IC performance on financial performance in High-IC Intensive and Low-IC Intensive companies. This is important to show how strong the effect of IC performance is in both of them. Furthermore, this study also analyzes the effect of each IC component on the company's financial performance. Thus, it can be seen that the IC components have the strongest effect on the company's financial performance.

This study contributes to the accounting literature development, especially the important role of intellectual capital in improving the financial performance of the company in developing countries. Furthermore, this study results provide an overview of company management and owner about the important role of intellectual capital in improving the financial performance of a company and the intellectual capital component that have the strongest influence on improving financial performance. Thus, company management and owner can be more optimal in managing their intellectual resources.

### **Effect of Intellectual Capital Performance on Company Financial Performance**

Intellectual capital is information and knowledge that is applied in a job to produce value (Williams, 2001). Chen, Cheng, and Hwang (2005) states that investors will give higher value to companies that have higher intellectual capital than the company with low intellectual capital. Bayraktaroglu, Calisir, and Baskak (2019) examine the relationship between IC (VAIC-Extended) and company performance in 400 manufacturing companies

in Turkey listed on the Istanbul Stock Exchange in 2003-2013. The results of these studies indicate that the IC component, namely SCE, has a significant effect on company profitability.

Smriti and Das (2018) examined the effect of IC on financial performance in Indian companies listed in the COSPI index using the VAIC Pulic measurement model. The research found that IC could gradually be accepted as a wealth generator to create financial performance, competitive advantage, and sustainability in business.

The listed companies in India are performing well and efficiently using their IC. The increasing importance of IC's contribution to value creation is consistently reflected in the company's financial performance. Furthermore, researches result of (Alfrah, 2018); Zhang *et al.* (2018); Hamdan (2018); Kamukama and Sulait (2017); Anifowose, Rashid and Annuar (2017); Scafarto, Ricci, and Scafarto (2016); Dženopoljac, Janošević and Bontis (2016); Hejazi, Ghanbari and Alipour (2016); Nimtrakoon (2015); Han and Li (2015); Berzkalne and Zelgalve (2014); Clarke, Seng, and Whiting (2011); and Sharabati, Jawad and Bontis (2010) also prove that IC has a positive effect on company performance.

Based on RBT, both IC performance and financial performance are the company's resources to win the competition. The advantages inherent in these two things are advantages for the company. Resources are oriented to maximize profits for the shareholder's benefit and to maintain the organization through good management of intangible resources (including IC) for the stakeholder benefit. If viewed from the RBT perspective, IC that is owned by a company is an organizational resource as capital to manage the better organization. The advantages of the company's intellectual capital are believed to affect the company's financial performance in the long term.

The higher the intellectual capital performance has in line with the better the financial performance (Ulum, Kharismawati and Syam, 2017). IC is not only had a positive influence on the company's financial performance for the current year, but it can also predict the company's future financial performance. Chen, Cheng, and Hwang (2005) prove that IC can be one of the appropriate indicators to predict the company's future financial performance. Furthermore, Ulum, Kharismawati, and Syam (2017) have also proved that a positive relation between IC performance and future performance in 50 companies with the largest market capitalization on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for the period 2007-2014. Based on the theoretical study and the results of the preview research, the research hypothesis is stated as follows:

*H<sub>1a</sub>: Intellectual capital performance has a positive effect on the company's financial performance.*

*H<sub>1b</sub>: Intellectual capital performance has a positive effect on the company's financial performance in the future*

## METHOD

### Population and Sample

The population of this study is companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2015-2017. The sample was selected by purposive sampling. The criteria used are: 1) the company is included in the category of high intellectual capital intensity, 2) not delisted during the observation period and have no negative operating retained earnings. 3) have complete data related to the measurement of the variables used in this study.

Global Industries Classification Standard (GICS) classifies the company becomes a group of companies with intellectual capital intensity high (High-IC intensive industries) and groups of companies with intellectual capital intensity low (Low-IC intensive industries) (Whiting & Woodcock, 2011). GICS grouping applies globally so that these grouping can be applied to industry in Indonesia.

**Dependent Variable**

Return on equity (ROE) is an analytical tool used to show the rate of return on capital invested by investors. The current year's financial performance is proxied by ROE<sub>t</sub>, while the company's future performance is proxied by using ROE for the following year (ROE<sub>t+1</sub>). According to the research of Ulum, Kharismawati, and Syam (2017), ROE is formulated as follows:

$ROE_t$	$\frac{\text{Net Income After Taxes}}{\text{Shareholders' Equity}}$
$ROE_{t+1}$	$\frac{\text{Net Income After Taxes}_{t+1}}{\text{Shareholders' Equity}_{t+1}}$

**Table 1.**  
Dependent Variable

**Independent Variable**

The independent variable in this study is the performance of intellectual capital that is proxied by the Modified Value Added Intellectual Coefficient (MVAIC). This study uses MVAIC because its value is higher than the original VAIC model (Maji and Goswami, 2017). This indicates that for some expansion the modified-VAIC model captures IC components that are more efficient than the original VAIC models developed by Pulic. This study also suggests that company managers can measure IC on the components in the company's financial statements using the MVAIC model. MVAIC calculations based on the research of Ulum, Ghozali, and Agus (2014) formulated as follows:

<i>Value Added</i>	<i>Operating Profit + Employee Cost + Depreciation + Amortization</i>
<i>Human Capital Efficiency (HCE)</i>	<i>Value Added/Human Capital (HC)</i>
<i>Structural Capital Efficiency (SCE)</i>	<i>Structural Capital (SC)/Value Added</i>
<i>Relational Capital Efficiency (RCE)</i>	<i>Relational Capital (RC)/Value Added</i>
<i>Capital Employed Efficiency (CEE)</i>	<i>Value Added/Capital Employed (CE)</i>
<i>Intellectual Capital Efficiency (ICE)</i>	<i>HCE + SCE + RCE</i>
<b>MVAIC</b>	<b>ICE + CEE</b>
<b>MVAIC</b>	<b>HCE + SCE + RCE + CEE</b>

**Table 2.**  
Independent Variable

Explanation:

HC: Human capital; employee expenses total include training

SC: Structural capital; VA-HC

RC: Relational capital; marketing costs

CE: Capital employed; book value from total asset

**Control Variable**

This study uses three control variables, namely, firm size, leverage, and cash turnover rates. Firm size is measured by the company's total assets (Tisna and Agustami, 2016). Leverage is measured by the debt to asset ratio that is calculated by dividing total debt by total assets (Rahayu and Sari, 2018; Maulita and Tania, 2018). The cash turnover ratio is a comparison between sales and average cash to find out how effective the company is in managing its cash to obtain the revenue from sales (Rahayu and Susilowibowo, 2014). Cash turnover is calculated by dividing net sales by cash average.

**Data Analysis Method**

Panel data regression analysis was used in this study. Before conducting the panel regression analysis, the estimation model was first selected using the Chow test, Hausman test, and Lagrange Multiplier test. The following is the regression equation used to test the research hypothesis.

The first equation:

$$ROE_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 MVAIC + \beta_2 SIZE + \beta_3 DAR + \beta_4 CTO + e \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

The second equation:

$$ROE_{t+1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 MVAIC + \beta_2 SIZE + \beta_3 DAR + \beta_4 CTO + e \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

Explanation:

$ROE_t$ : Company Performance year t,

$ROE_{t+1}$ : Company Performance year t+1,

MVAIC: Modified Value Added Intellectual Coefficient,

SIZE: Firm Size,

DAR: Debt to Asset Ratio,

CTO: Cash Turnover,

$e$ : Error

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Descriptive Statistical Analysis**

Based on the sampling criteria, 112 companies were obtained, so that a total of 336 observations were obtained during the study period. Table 3 below presents a description of the data on each research variable.

	ROE <sub>t</sub>	ROE <sub>t+1</sub>	MVAIC	SIZE	DAR	CTO
Mean	0,10	0,09	5,54	54.913.354	0,56	9,58
Max	0,32	0,32	20,12	1.126.248.442	1,00	57,21
Min	-0,12	-1,21	1,61	175.744	0,07	0,21
Std. Dev	0,08	0,11	2,83	162.051.751	0,23	9,30
Obs.	336	336	336	336	336	336

**Table 3.**  
Descriptive statistics

Notes: ROE<sub>t</sub> = Return on Equity year t; ROE<sub>t+1</sub> = Return on Equity year t+1; MVAIC = Modified Value Added Intellectual Coefficient; SIZE = Firm size (in millions); DAR =Debt to Asset Ratio; CTO = *Cash Turnover*.

The variable of company's financial performance (ROEt) shows an average value of 0.10. It shows that the level of return on investment produced by companies with high intellectual capital intensity is 10%. The variable of ROEt company financial performance has a maximum value of 0.32 and a minimum value of -0.12 with a standard deviation of 0.08. The variable of ROE<sub>t+1</sub> has an average value of 0.09, lower than the average company performance in the current year. The maximum value of ROE<sub>t+1</sub> is 0.32 and the minimum value is -1.21 with a standard deviation of 0.11. The variable of intellectual capital performance (MVAIC) shows an average value of 5.54. It shows that the average intellectual capital performance of companies with high intellectual capital intensity can still be improved because there are companies with intellectual capital performance values of 20.12. MVAIC shows a minimum value of 1.61 with a standard deviation of 2.83.

The firm size variable (SIZE) shows an average value of 54,913,354, a maximum value of 1,126,248,442, a minimum value of 175,744 and a standard deviation of 162,051,751. The firm size variable is calculated from the total assets owned by the company, so to obtain meaningful results, the original value of total assets (in millions) is used. The DAR variable shows an average value of 0.56. it means that most of the assets owned by a group of companies with high intellectual capital intensity are financed by debt. The maximum value of DAR is 1.00 and the minimum value is 0.07 with a standard deviation of 0.23. The CTO variable shows an average value of 9.58, which means that the average cash turnover rate of companies with high intellectual capital intensity is 9.58 times. The CTO variable has a maximum value of 57.21 and a minimum value of 0.21 with a standard deviation of 9.30.

**Hypothesis Testing Results**

Before testing the hypothesis, first, the best model is selected for each regression equation. The results of the model specification test show that the best estimation model in the first equation is fixed effect and the best estimation model in the second equation is random effect. Table 4 below presents the results of testing the research hypothesis.

Variable	ROEt (fixed effect model)			ROEt+1 (random effect model)		
	coefficient	t-statistic	prob.	coefficient	t-statistic	prob.
C	-0,243	-0,621	0,535	-0,240	-0,157	0,115
MVAIC	0,020	11,465	0,000	0,003	1,110	0,268
SIZE	0,007	0,568	0,570	0,011	2,092	0,037
DAR	0,017	0,461	0,645	-0,051	-1,344	0,179
CTO	0,001	1,012	0,312	0,002	2,047	0,041
F-statistic	12,798			2,165		
Prob F-stat	0,000			0,072		
Adj. R2	0,801			0,013		
N	336			336		

**Table 4.**  
Hypothesis  
Testing Results

Notes: ROE<sub>t</sub> = Return on Equity year t; ROE<sub>t+1</sub> = Return on Equity year t+1; MVAIC = Modified Value Added Intellectual Coefficient; SIZE = Firm size (in millions); DAR =Debt to Asset Ratio; CTO = Cash Turnover.

Based on the MVAIC hypothesis testing on ROEt, the results show that the performance of intellectual capital has a significant positive effect on the company's financial performance for the current year. In table 4 the MVAIC variable shows a coefficient value of 0.020 with a probability value of 0.000. Therefore, the research hypothesis which states that intellectual capital performance has a positive effect on the company's financial

performance in the current year (H1a) is acceptable. The results of this study are in line with researches conducted by Ulum, Kharismawati, and Syam (2017) and Smriti and Das (2018) which state that the performance of intellectual capital has a positive effect on the company's financial performance. It is believed that intellectual capital performance can affect financial performance. It means that the better the intellectual capital performance make the better the company's financial performance. Intellectual capital can gradually be accepted as a producer of wealth to improve financial performance, competitive advantage, and long-term business sustainability. The results of the analysis in Table 4 also show that the control variables used in this study have no significant effect on the company's financial performance in the current year (ROEt).

In testing the effect of MVAIC on ROEt+1 shows a coefficient value of 0.003 with a significance level of 0.268. Based on these results, it can be said that intellectual capital performance does not have a significant effect on future financial performance. Thus, the research hypothesis that predicts that intellectual capital performance has a positive effect on the company's future financial performance (H1b) is rejected. The results of this study are not in line with the research conducted by Ulum, Kharismawati, and Syam (2017). However, if viewed from the resulting coefficient value, the effect of MVAIC on ROEt+1 shows a positive direction. Although the effect is not significant, the direction of the regression coefficient which is positive indicates that IC performance has the potential to improve the company's financial performance in the future.

One of the factors that may influence the inconsistency of the results of this study with previous research is the company's strategic policy in IC management. The company's management may only focus on IC management to improve performance in the current period. The added value generated from the company's IC management is only able to increase the efficiency of the use of company resources in the same period. This is reasonable because the strategic policies taken by company management in managing company resources can differ or change from one period to another. In addition, external factors such as the level of competition and economic conditions may also affect the company's financial performance in the future. It means that company policies can be efficient and effective in the current year, but not necessarily in accordance with the situation and conditions in the following year. In testing the effect of IC on future financial performance, there are control variables that have a positive and significant effect, namely firm size and cash turnover rate. These results indicate that the management of tangible resources in a group of companies with high intellectual capital intensity is still an important factor in maintaining the company's long-term performance.

### **Additional Analysis**

We conducted an additional analysis by examining the effect of each of the components that make up MVAIC, namely HCE, SCE, RCE, and CEE on the company's financial performance. Human Capital Efficiency (HCE) is the efficiency of the ability and knowledge of human resources in the company in increasing the company's competitive advantage. Structural Capital Efficiency (SCE) is the efficiency of a company's ability to create infrastructure, Relational Capital Efficiency (RCE) is the efficiency in marketing and customer relations, and Capital Employed Efficiency (CEE) which is the efficiency of the capital used.

Variable	ROEt (fixed effect model)				ROEt+1 (random effect model)			
	Coef.	t-statistic	prob.	Adj. R-square	Coef.	t-statistic	prob.	Adj. R-square
HCE	0,021	11,199	0,000	0,798	0,003	0,912	0,501	0,012
SCE	0,392	10,216	0,000	0,785	0,056	1,097	0,273	0,014
RCE	-0,504	-5,376	0,000	0,725	0,061	0,905	0,366	0,013
CEE	0,433	6,517	0,000	0,734	0,045	1,535	0,126	0,017

**Table 5.**  
Analysis Results  
Per Component

Notes: ROEt = Return on Equity year t; ROEt+1 = Return on Equity year t+1; HCE= Human Capital Efficiency; SCE= Structural Capital Efficiency; RCE= Relational Capital Efficiency; CEE= Capital Employed Efficiency.

From the overall additional analysis on the components that make up the MVAIC that have been done, it can be seen that HCE, SCE, and CEE have a significant positive effect on the company's financial performance for the current year. Meanwhile, RCE has a significant negative effect. If viewed from the regression coefficient value for each component, it is known that CEE and SCE have the strongest effect on current year financial performance (ROEt). These results are consistent with the results of research by Smriti & Das (2018) that found that CEE and SCE are the biggest contributors to increasing company growth. Furthermore, the per-component analysis results on future financial performance (ROEt+1) show consistent results with previous tests. It means that HCE, SCE, RCE, and CEE have no significant effect on the company's future financial performance.

Furthermore, we conduct additional analysis by examining the effect of intellectual capital performance (MVAIC) on the financial performance of companies in groups of companies with low intellectual capital intensity (Low-IC Intensive Industries). The results of the analysis in Table 5 show results that are consistent with testing on groups of companies with high IC intensity. However, when viewed from the value of the regression coefficient and the value of the coefficient of determination (adj. R<sup>2</sup>). It can be said that the influence of IC on the company's financial performance is stronger in companies with high IC intensity compared to companies with low IC intensity. Overall, the results of this study provide an overview to company owners and management about the importance of IC in improving the company's financial performance.

Variable	ROEt (fixed effect model)			ROEt+1 (fixed effect model)		
	coefficient	t-stat	prob.	coefficient	t-stat	prob.
C	2,751	0,518	0,606	-3,846	-0,725	0,470
MVAIC	0,016	2,182	0,032	0,002	0,248	0,805
SIZE	-0,089	-0,497	0,620	0,125	0,700	0,485
DAR	-0,389	-0,977	0,331	0,612	1,533	0,129
CTO	0,002	3,001	0,581	0,001	3,613	0,001
F-statistic			5,734			5,275
Prob F-stat			0,000			0,000
Adj. R2			0,627			0,603
N			153			153

**Table 6.**  
Analysis Results  
on Intensive  
Low-IC  
Companies

Notes: ROEt = Return on Equity year t; ROEt+1 = Return on Equity year t+1; MVAIC = Modified Value Added Intellectual Coefficient; SIZE = firm size (in millions); DAR =Debt to Asset Ratio; CTO = Cash Turnover.

**CONCLUSION**

The results of the analysis show that the performance of intellectual capital has a positive effect on the company's financial performance in the current year. The better the



performance of intellectual capital in the company has in line with the better the resulting company's financial performance. Capital Employed Efficiency (CEE) and Structural Capital Efficiency (SCE) are the components that have the strongest influence on the company's financial performance in the current year. However, this study has not been able to prove a significant effect of the performance of intellectual capital on the company's future financial performance.

This study has several limitations. First, this study has not considered the business strategy and the company's competitive conditions in each observation period. Second, this study uses only one IC measurement, namely MVAIC. Even though there are still other intellectual capital performance measures such as the VAIC-extended developed by Bayraktaroglu, Calisir and Baskak (2019) with take advantage of the cost of innovation, research and development. This study did not use the VAIC-Extended model because there were still few companies that did disclose the costs of innovation, research and development.

Third, this study has not analyzed companies based on industrial sector classifications. Therefore, further research is expected to develop this research by considering the business strategies used by the company and considering the conditions of business competition in the observation period. In addition, further research is also expected to make comparisons using a more comprehensive alternative to IC measurement, for example, VAIC-Extended (see Bayraktaroglu, Calisir and Baskak, 2019). Furthermore, future researchers can conduct an analysis that more in-depth by classifying companies based on the industrial sector on the Indonesia Stock Exchange.

## REFERENCES

- Alfrah, M. M. (2018) 'Intellectual capital reporting and its relation to market and financial performance', *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, 34(3), pp. 266–281. doi: 10.1108/IJOES-02-2017-0034.
- Allameh, S. M. (2018) 'Antecedents and Consequences of Intellectual Capital: The Role of Social Capital, Knowledge Sharing and Innovation', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 19(5), pp. 858-874.
- Anifowose, M., Rashid, H. M. A. and Annuar, H. A. (2017) 'Intellectual Capital Disclosure and Corporate Market Value: Does Board Diversity Matter?', *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, (3), pp. 266–281.
- Barney, J. (1991) 'Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage', *Journal of Management*, pp. 99–120. doi: 10.1177/014920639101700108.
- Bayraktaroglu, A. E., Calisir, F. and Baskak, M. (2019) 'Intellectual capital and firm performance: an extended VAIC model', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 20(3), pp. 406–425. doi: 10.1108/JIC-12-2017-0184.
- Bentoen, S. (2012) 'Pengaruh Intellectual Capital terhadap Financial Performance, Growth, dan Market Value', in *National Conference Universitas Pelita Harapan*. Surabaya.
- Berzkalne, I. and Zelgalve, E. (2014) 'Intellectual Capital and Company Value', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 110, pp. 887–896. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.12.934.
- Chen, M. C., Cheng, S. J. and Hwang, Y. (2005) 'An empirical investigation of the relationship between intellectual capital and firms' market value and financial performance', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 6(2), pp. 159–176. doi: 10.1108/14691930510592771.

Clarke, M., Seng, D. and Whiting, R. H. (2011) 'Intellectual capital and firm performance in Australia', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 12(4), pp. 505–530. doi: 10.1108/14691931111181706.

Dženopoljac, V., Janošević, S. and Bontis, N. (2016) 'Intellectual capital and financial performance in the Serbian ICT industry', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 17(2), pp. 373–396. doi: 10.1108/JIC-07-2015-0068.

Gewati, M. (2019) *Alasan Pemerintah Jokowi-JK Fokus Bangun Infrastruktur*. Available at: [ps://money.kompas.com/read/2019/03/26/084500826/alasan-pemerintah-jokowi-jk-fokus-bangun-infrastruktur?page=all#:~:text=KOMPAS.com - Sektor infrastruktur menjadi,di pelbagai wilayah tanah air](https://money.kompas.com/read/2019/03/26/084500826/alasan-pemerintah-jokowi-jk-fokus-bangun-infrastruktur?page=all#:~:text=KOMPAS.com - Sektor infrastruktur menjadi,di pelbagai wilayah tanah air).

Hamdan, A. (2018) 'Intellectual capital and firm performance: Differentiating between accounting-based and market-based performance', *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 11(1), pp. 139–151. doi: 10.1108/IMEFM-02-2017-0053.

Han, Y. and & Li, D. (2015) 'Effects Of Intellectual Capital on Innovative Performance: The Role of Knowledge-Based Dynamic Capability Management Decision', 53(1), 40–56. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-08-2013-0411>.

Hejazi, R., Ghanbari, M. and Alipour, M. (2016) 'Intellectual, Human and Structural Capital Effects on Firm Performances measured by Tobin's Q', *Knowledge and Process Management*, 23(4), pp. 259–273.

Hermawan, S., Hanun, N. R. and Pamungkas, N. (2019) 'Intellectual Capital, Financial Performance and Market Performance: Evidence From High IC Intensive Company in Indonesia', *Jurnal Dinamika Akuntansi*, 11(2), pp. 98–107.

Kamukama, N. and Sulait, T. (2017) 'Intellectual capital and competitive advantage in Uganda's microfinance industry', *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 8(4), pp. 498–514. doi: 10.1108/AJEMS-02-2017-0021.

Maditinos, D. *et al.* (2011) 'The impact of intellectual capital on firms' market value and financial performance', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 12(1), pp. 132–151. doi: 10.1108/146919311111097944.

Maji, S. G., and Goswami, M. (2017) 'Intellectual Capital and Firm Performance in India: a Comparative Study between Original and Modified Value Added Intellectual Coefficient Model', *Intellectual Journal Learning and Intellectual Capital*, 14(1), pp. 76–89. doi: 10.1054/IJLIC.2017.10000631.

Maulita, D. and Tania, I. (2018) 'Pengaruh Debt To Equity Ratio (Der), Debt To Asset Ratio (Dar), Dan Long Term Debt To Equity Ratio (Lder) Terhadap Profitabilitas', *Jurnal Akuntansi : Kajian Ilmiah Akuntansi (JAK)*, 5(2), pp. 132. doi: 10.30656/jak.v5i2.669.

Mehralian, G. *et al.* (2012) 'The impact of intellectual capital efficiency on market value: An empirical study from Iranian pharmaceutical companies', *Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 11(1), pp. 195–207.

Nimtrakoon, S. (2015) 'The Relationship between Intellectual Capital, Firms Market Value and Financial Performance: Empirical Evidence from the ASEAN', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 16(3), pp. 587–618.

PT Bursa Efek Indonesia. (2015). from [www.idx.co.id](http://www.idx.co.id): <https://www.idx.co.id/data-pasar/laporan-statistik/fact-book/>, has been accessed on January 12, 2020.

- Pulic, A. (2000) 'VAIC™ – An Accounting Tool for Intellectual Capital Management', *International Journal Technology Management*, 20(5/6/7/8), pp. 702–714.
- Rahayu, E. A. and Susilowibowo, J. (2014) 'Eka Ayu Rahayu dan Joni Susilowibowo; Pengaruh Perputaran Kas ...', *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen*, 2(4), pp. 1444–1455.
- Rahayu, M. and Sari, B. (2018) 'Kepemilikan Institusional, Manajemen Laba dan Leverage terhadap Kinerja Perusahaan', *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis*, 2(1), pp. 67–78.
- Riahi-Belkaoui, A. (2003) 'Intellectual capital and firm performance of US multinational firms: A study of the resource-based and stakeholder views', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 4(2), pp. 215–226. doi: 10.1108/14691930310472839.
- Scafarto, V., Ricci, F. and Scafarto, F. (2016) 'Intellectual Capital and Firm Performance in the Global Agribusiness Industry: the Moderating Role of Human Capital', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 17(3), p.
- Schwab, K. (2017) *The Global Competitiveness Report 2016-2017*. Available at: [www3.forum.org](http://www3.forum.org).
- Sharabati, A. A. A., Jawad, S. N. and Bontis, N. (2010) 'Intellectual capital and business performance in the pharmaceutical sector of Jordan', *Management Decision*, 48(1), pp. 105–131. doi: 10.1108/00251741011014481.
- Smriti, N. and Das, N. (2018) 'The impact of intellectual capital on firm performance: a study of Indian firms listed in COSPP', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 19(5), pp. 935–964. doi: 10.1108/JIC-11-2017-0156.
- Tisna, G. A. and Agustami, S. (2016) 'Pengaruh Good Corporate Governance dan Ukuran Perusahaan terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Perusahaan (Pada Perusahaan Perbankan yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia (BEI) Tahun 2010-2014)', *Jurnal Riset Akuntansi dan Keuangan*, 4(2), pp. 1035–1046.
- Ulum, I., Ghozali, I. and Agus (2014) 'Konstruksi Model Pengukuran Kinerja Dan', *Jurnal Akuntansi Multiparadigma JAMAL*, 5(3), pp. 345–510.
- Ulum, I., Ghozali, I. and Chariri, A. (2008) 'Intellectual Capital Dan Kinerja Keuangan Perusahaan ; Suatu Analisis Dengan Pendekatan Partial Least Squares', *Simposium Nasional Akuntansi XI*, 19(19), pp. 23–24.
- Ulum, I., Kharismawati, N. and Syam, D. (2017) 'Modified value-added intellectual coefficient (MVAIC) and traditional financial performance of Indonesian biggest companies', *International Journal of Learning and Intellectual Capital*, 14(3), pp. 207–219. doi: 10.1504/IJLIC.2017.086390.
- Vodenko, K. K., & Lyausheva, S. A. (2020). 'Science and Education in the Form 4.0: Public and Policy and Organization based on Human and Artificial Intellectual Capital', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 21(4), pp. 549-564. doi: [10.1108/JIC-11-2019-0274](https://doi.org/10.1108/JIC-11-2019-0274)
- Wernelfelt, B. (1984) 'A Resource-based view of the firm', *Strategic Management Journal*, 5(2), pp. 171–180.
- Williams, S. M. (2001) 'Is Intellectual Capital Performance and Disclosure', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 2(3), pp. 192–203. doi: 10.1108/14691930010348731.
- Zhang, M. *et al.* (2018) 'How does intellectual capital affect product innovation performance? Evidence from China and India', *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 38(3), pp. 895–914. doi: 10.1108/IJOPM-10-2016-0612.



Q jrak

Compose

Inbox 439

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Drafts 3

More

Meet

New meeting

My meetings

Hangouts



Wahyu



No recent chats

[Start a new one](#)

## [JRAK] Submission Acknowledgement



**Ihyaul Ulum** <noreply@umm.ac.id>

to me

Assalamualaikum Wahyu Widarjo:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "The Effect of Intellectual Performance on Company's Financial Performance" to Jurnal Re dan Keuangan. With the online journal management system that you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL:

<http://ejournal.umm.ac.id/index.php/jrak/author/submission/1327>

Username: wahyu280284

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for con journal as a venue for your work.

Ihyaul Ulum

Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan

Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan

<http://ejournal.umm.ac.id/index.php/jrak>

Reply

Forward

Journal  
ProfileJurnal Reviu Akuntansi  
dan Keuangan

eISSN : 26152223 | pISSN : 20880685

EconomyUniversitas Muhammadiyah Malang

S2

Sinta Score



GARUDA

Indexed by

GARUDA

16

H-Index

15

H5-Index

986

Citations

850

5 Year

Citations

*Penerbit:*Universitas  
Muhammadiyah  
Malang[Website](#) | [Website](#)[Editor URL](#)*Address:*Jurusan  
Akuntansi  
Universitas  
Muhammadiyah  
Malang, Gedung  
Kuliah Bersama  
(GKB) 2 lantai 3,  
Jl. Raya  
Tlogomas No.  
246 Malang,  
Jatim  
Malang*Email:*

jrak@umm.ac.id

*Phone:*(0341) 464318,  
Psw. 286

2017

2018

2019

Citation Statistics

Search..



1

2

3

4

5



Page 1 of 24 | Total Records : 238

**Publications****Citation**Pengaruh good governance dan standar akuntansi pemerintahan terhadap akuntabilitas keuangan dengan komitmen organisasi sebagai pemoderasi  
E Zeyn  
Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan 1 (1), 21-36

99

Analisis Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Indeks Pelaporan Keuangan Melalui Internet  
DR Puri  
Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan 3 (1)

55

Pengaruh karakteristik perusahaan terhadap praktek pengungkapan sustainability reporting dalam laporan tahunan perusahaan publik di Indonesia  
AS Aulia, D Syam  
Jurnal reviu akuntansi dan keuangan 3 (1)

33

Analisis praktik pengungkapan informasi

Last Updated :

**2021-04-13**

<u>intellectual capital dalam laporan tahunan perusahaan telekomunikasi di Indonesia</u> I Ulum Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan 1 (1), 49-56	33
<u>Analisis Internet Financial Reporting Index; Studi Komparasi Antara Perusahaan High-tech dan Non High-tech di Indonesia</u> NS Wardhanie Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan 2 (2)	28
<u>Pengaruh tax avoidance terhadap nilai perusahaan</u> HN Karimah, E Taufiq EKOMBIS REVIEW: Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi dan Bisnis 4 (1)	28
<u>Analisis kualitas penerapan good corporate governance pada bank umum syariah di Indonesia serta pengaruhnya terhadap tingkat pengembalian dan risiko pembiayaan</u> D Syam, T Najda Jurnal reviu akuntansi dan keuangan 2 (1), 195-206	27
<u>Reaksi investor atas pengungkapan internet financial reporting</u> WIS Mooduto Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan 3 (2)	25
<u>Konstruksi komponen intellectual capital untuk perguruan tinggi di Indonesia</u> I Ulum Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan (JRAK) 2 (2), 251-261	23
<u>Pengaruh Good Corporate Governance, Ukuran Perusahaan, Dan Leverage Terhadap Integritas Laporan Keuangan</u> RG Mais, F Nuari Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan 6 (2)	22

Page 1 of 24 | Total Records : 238





Copyright © 2017

Kementerian Riset dan Teknologi / Badan Riset  
dan Inovasi Nasional

*(Ministry of Research and Technology*

*/National Agency for Research and Innovation)*

All Rights Reserved.

# Artikel Bella dan Wahyu

*by Wahyu Widarjo*

---

**Submission date:** 12-Feb-2021 11:07PM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 1507996591

**File name:** Bella\_dan\_Wahyu\_Widarjo,\_2020.pdf (1.08M)

**Word count:** 5749

**Character count:** 31925



**Website:**

ejournal.umm.ac.id/index.php/jrak

**\*Correspondence:**

widarjo@staff.ums.ac.id

DOI: 10.22219/jrak.v10i3.13273

**Citation:**

Pramathana, B., & Widarjo, W. (2020). The Effect Of Intellectual Capital Performance On The Company's Financial Performance. *Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan*, 10(3), 497-508.

**Article Process****Submitted:**

September 30, 2020

**Reviewed:**

September 30, 2020

**Revised:**

December 11, 2020

**Accepted:**

December 12, 2020

**Published:**

December 26, 2020

**Office:**

Department of Accounting  
University of  
Muhammadiyah Malang  
GKB 2 Floor 3,  
Jalan Raya Tlogomas 246,  
Malang, East Java,  
Indonesia

P-ISSN: 2615-2223

E-ISSN: 2088-0685

Article Type: Research Paper

## THE EFFECT OF INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL PERFORMANCE ON THE COMPANY'S FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

Bella Pramathana<sup>1</sup>, Wahyu Widarjo<sup>2\*</sup>

**Affiliation:**

<sup>1,2</sup>Accounting Department, Faculty of Economics and Business,  
University of Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Central Java, Indonesia

**ABSTRACT**

This study analyzes the effect of intellectual capital performance on the company's financial performance in the current year and the following year. We use the Modified Value Added Coefficient (MVAIC) to measure the performance of a company's intellectual capital. The research sample is companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (BEI) 2015-2017 which are included in the High-IC intensive industries category based on the classification of the Global Industries Classification Standard (GICS). Samples were obtained using purposive sampling technique in order to obtain 112 companies with a total of 336 observations. The data analysis technique was conducted by using panel data regression. This study results indicate that intellectual capital performance has a positive and significant effect on the company's financial performance for the current year. However, it does not significantly affect the company's financial performance in the following year. This study contributes to the accounting literature development, especially the important role of intellectual capital in improving the financial performance of a company.

**KEYWORDS:** Company's Financial Performance; High-IC Intensive Industry; Intellectual Capital Performance; MVAIC .

## INTRODUCTION

Indonesia ranks 36th in the Global Competitiveness Index (GCI) in the <sup>16</sup> Global Competitiveness Report 2016-2017 published by the World Economic Forum (Schwab, 2017). The position is the highest for several recent years. However, Indonesia's position is still below other neighboring countries such as Singapore, Malaysia, and Thailand. Whereas, if viewed from several aspects such as area size, number of Human Resources, and availability of Natural Resources, Indonesia should be able to be superior to these countries. Globalization continues to encourage development until it reaches the industrial era 4.0. Along with this development, business competition has become increasingly fierce, especially after the implementation of the ASEAN Economic Community in early 2016.

President Joko Widodo made adjustments to the President's <sup>16</sup> vision for the 2019-2024 period by concentrating on developing Human Resources by improving the quality of education and talent management. In the previous period, the concentration of the President's vision emphasized the development of infrastructure or tangible assets (Gewati, 2019). This way was conducted as the first step to increase competitive advantage and bring Indonesia to compete globally.

The adjustment of strategy by improving the quality of intangible resources by the President is also carried out by companies in Indonesia. In this case, the intangible resources are referred to the intellectual capital (abbreviated as IC). Based on data published by the Indonesia Stock Exchange through the IDX factbook, high-technology companies that are included in the high IC intensity group of the highest rank with the largest market capitalization. It shows that IC has a high potential to improve company performance and boosts the country's <sup>2</sup> economy (Indonesia Stock Exchange, 2015).

Pulic & Kolakovic stated that every company has unique knowledge, skills, values, and intangible resource solutions <sup>2</sup> which can be used to create excellence competitive and good long-term company performance (Ulum, Kharismawati, & Syam, 2017). The science and education system stated that IC determines a factor production but human knowledge does not have to dominate in the structure, because in industry 4.0 one of the most popular factors is technology with a broad practical perspective and implementation (Vodenko & Lyausheva, 2020). IC is a collection of knowledge and information in a companies or organizations that help increase the value of products and services through knowledge, not capital financial (Allameh, 2018).

Resources Based Theory (RBT) sees that company resources are the main controller behind the company's performance excellence and competitiveness (Riahi-Belkaoui, 2003). RBT was first delivered by Wernelfelt (1984). RBT focuses on <sup>2</sup> the concept of a competitive advantage which is difficult for other companies to imitate. Each company has unique knowledge, skills, values, and intangible resource <sup>2</sup> that can be converted into a market value that is reflected in the company's share price in the capital market.

Intangible resource management can help companies to achieve a <sup>3</sup> competitive advantage, increase productivity, and market value. Barney (1991) suggests the conditions that must be met in order that a resource can be said to be a resource that has a competitive advantage. These conditions include valuable, rare, difficult to imitate, and difficult to replace. Based on RBT theory, IC is considered to fulfill as a unique resource and it can provide value-added for the company. Therefore, companies that have high IC intensity will have high performance.

Experts have developed a method for measuring IC that is owned by the company. One of the popular model IC measurement is the Value Added Intellectual Capital (VAIC) developed by Pulic (2000). VAIC does not measure IC, but only measures the impact caused by IC management (Ulum, Ghozali, and Chariri, 2008). Ulum developed an IC performance measurement model based on the model developed by Pulic to be Modified-VAIC (MVAIC) by adding a Relational Capital Efficiency component. Relational Capital or relationships with customers is vital for the company because it has the goal of promoting the company which can be measured by advertising costs (Ulum, Ghozali, and Agus, 2014).

The relationship between IC and company performance has been proven empirically by several previous researchers. Ulum, Kharismawati, and Syam (2017) examine the effect of MVAIC on the company's traditional financial performance and found that MVAIC has a positive effect on the company's financial performance. Research of Hermawan, Hanun, and Pungkas (2019) on financial sector companies with high IC intensity found that VAIC has a positive effect on financial performance and market performance. The same result is shown by Smriti and Das (2018) on companies in India. However, researches are conducted by Maditinos *et al.* (2011) and Mehralian *et al.* (2012) found that there is no relationship between IC and firm performance. Even research by Bentoen (2012) found that VAIC has a negative effect on the company's financial performance. The inconsistent research result caused by the different samples used in the study and the economic system by countries and policies adopted by companies in the sample also different.

Although many researchers examined the relationship between IC and company performance, the results are still inconsistent. In addition, not many researchers have conducted analyzes on companies with high IC intensity (High-IC Intensive) in developing countries that have large human resource potential such as Indonesia. Therefore, this study provides to prove the effect of IC on the financial performance of High-IC-intensive companies in Indonesia. Furthermore, this study also analyzes the effect of IC on financial performance in the future. It is important to provide investors in the capital market with an overview of the prospects for future company performance related to IC management.

This study also conducted a comparative analysis of the effect of IC performance on financial performance in High-IC Intensive and Low-IC Intensive companies. This is important to know how strong the effect of IC performance is in both of them. Furthermore, this study also analyzes the effect of each IC component on the company's financial performance. Thus, it can be seen that the IC components have the strongest effect on the company's financial performance.

This study contributes to the accounting literature development, especially the important role of intellectual capital in improving the financial performance of the company in developing countries. Furthermore, this study results provide an overview of company management and owner about the important role of intellectual capital in improving the financial performance of a company and the intellectual capital component that have the strongest influence on improving financial performance. Thus, company management and owner can be more optimal in managing their intellectual resources.

### Effect of Intellectual Capital Performance on Company Financial Performance

Intellectual capital is information and knowledge that is applied in a job to produce value (Williams, 2001). Chen, Cheng, and Hwang (2005) states that investors will give higher value to companies that have higher intellectual capital than the company with low intellectual capital. Bayraktaroglu, Calisir, and Baskak (2019) examine the relationship between IC (VAIC-Extended) and company performance in 400 manufacturing companies

in Turkey listed on the Istanbul Stock Exchange in 2003-2013. The results of these studies indicate that the IC component, namely SCE, has a significant effect on company profitability.

Smriti and Das (2018) examined the effect of IC on financial performance in Indian companies listed in the COSPI index using the VAIC Pulic measurement model. The research found that IC could gradually be accepted as a wealth generator to create financial performance, competitive advantage, and sustainability in business.

The listed companies in India are performing well and efficiently using their IC. The increasing importance of IC's contribution to value creation is consistently reflected in the company's financial performance. Furthermore, researches result of (Alfraih, 2018); Zhang *et al.* (2018); Hamdan (2018); Kamukama and Sulait (2017); Anifowose, Rashid and Annuar (2017); Scafarto, Ricci, and Scafarto (2016); Dženopoljac, Janošević and Bontis (2016); Hejazi, Ghanbari and Alipour (2016); Nimtrakoon (2015); Han and Li (2015); Berzkalne and Zelgalve (2020); Clarke, Seng, and Whiting (2011); and Sharabati, Jawad and Bontis (2010) also prove that IC has a positive effect on company performance.

Based on RBV, both IC performance and financial performance are the company's resources to win the competition. The advantages inherent in these two things are advantages for the company. Resources are oriented to maximize profits for the shareholder's benefit and to maintain the organization through good management of intangible resources (including IC) for the stakeholder benefit. If viewed from the RBV perspective, IC that is owned by a company is an organizational resource as capital to manage the better organization. The advantages of the company's intellectual capital are believed to affect the company's financial performance in the long term.

The higher the intellectual capital performance has in line with the better the financial performance (Ulum, Kharismawati and Syam, 2017). IC is not only had a positive influence on the company's financial performance for the current year, but it can also predict the company's future financial performance. Chen, Cheng, and Hwang (2005) prove that IC can be one of the appropriate indicators to predict the company's future financial performance. Furthermore, Ulum, Kharismawati, and Syam (2017) have also proved that a positive relation between IC performance and future performance in 50 companies with the largest market capitalization on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for the period 2007-2014. Based on the theoretical study and the results of the preview research, the research hypothesis is stated as follows:

*H<sub>1a</sub>: Intellectual capital performance has a positive effect on the company's financial performance.*

*H<sub>1b</sub>: Intellectual capital performance has a positive effect on the company's financial performance in the future*

## METHOD

### Population and Sample

The population of this study is companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2015-2017. The sample was selected by purposive sampling. The criteria used are: 1) the company is included in the category of high intellectual capital intensity, 2) not delisted during the observation period and have no negative operating retained earnings. 3) have complete data related to the measurement of the variables used in this study.



501 Global Industries Classification Standard (GICS) classifies the company becomes a group of companies with intellectual capital intensity high (High-IC intensive industries) and groups of companies with intellectual capital intensity low (Low-IC intensive industries) (Whiting & Woodcock, 2011). GICS grouping applies globally so that these grouping can be applied to industry in Indonesia.

**Dependent Variable**

Return on equity (ROE) is an analytical tool used to show the rate of return on capital invested by investors. The current year's financial performance is proxied by ROE<sub>t</sub>, while the company's future performance is proxied by using ROE for the following year (ROE<sub>t+1</sub>). According to the research of Ulum, Kharismawati, and Syam (2017), ROE is formulated as follows:

$ROE_t$	$\frac{Net\ Income\ After\ Taxes}{Shareholders'\ Equity}$
$ROE_{t+1}$	$\frac{Net\ Income\ After\ Taxes_{t+1}}{Shareholders'\ Equity_{t+1}}$

**Table 1.**  
Dependent Variable

**Independent Variable**

The independent variable in this study is the performance of intellectual capital that is proxied by the Modified Value Added Intellectual Coefficient (MVAIC). This study uses MVAIC because its value is higher than the original VAIC model (Maji and Goswami, 2017). This indicates that for some expansion the modified-VAIC model captures IC components that are more efficient than the original VAIC models developed by Pulic. This study also suggests that company managers can measure IC on the components in the company's financial statements using the MVAIC model. MVAIC calculations based on the research of Ulum, Ghozali, and Agus (2014) formulated as follows:

<i>Value Added</i>	<i>Operating Profit + Employee Cost + Depreciation + Amortization</i>
<i>Human Capital Efficiency (HCE)</i>	<i>Value Added/Human Capital (HC)</i>
<i>Structural Capital Efficiency (SCE)</i>	<i>Structural Capital (SC)/Value Added</i>
<i>Relational Capital Efficiency (RCE)</i>	<i>Relational Capital (RC)/Value Added</i>
<i>Capital Employed Efficiency (CEE)</i>	<i>Value Added/Capital Employed (CE)</i>
<i>Intellectual Capital Efficiency</i>	<i>HCE + SCE + RCE</i>
<i>MVAIC</i>	<i>ICE + CEE</i>
<i>MVAIC</i>	<i>HCE + SCE + RCE + CEE</i>

**Table 2.**  
Independent Variable

JRAK  
10.3

Explanation:

HC: Human capital; employee expenses total include training

SC: Structural capital; VA-HC

RC: Relational capital; marketing costs

CE: Capital employed; book value from total asset

**Control Variable**

This study uses three control variables, namely, firm size, leverage, and cash turnover rates. Firm size is measured by the company's total assets (Tisna and Agustami, 2016). Leverage is measured by the debt to asset ratio that is calculated by dividing total debt by total assets (Rahayu and Sari, 2018; Maulita and Tania, 2018). The cash turnover ratio is a comparison between sales and average cash to find out how effective the company is in managing its cash to obtain the revenue from sales (Rahayu and Susilowibowo, 2014). Cash turnover is calculated by dividing net sales by cash average.

**Data Analysis Method**

Panel data regression analysis was used in this study. Before conducting the panel regression analysis, the estimation model was first selected using the Chow test, Hausman test, and Lagrange Multiplier test. The following is the regression equation used to test the research hypothesis.

The first equation:

$$ROE_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 MVAIC + \beta_2 SIZE + \beta_3 DAR + \beta_4 CTO + e \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

The second equation:

$$ROE_{t+1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 MVAIC + \beta_2 SIZE + \beta_3 DAR + \beta_4 CTO + e \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

Explanation:

$ROE_t$ : Company Performance year t,

$ROE_{t+1}$ : Company Performance year t+1,

MVAIC: Modified Value Added Intellectual Coefficient,

SIZE: Firm Size,

DAR: Debt to Asset Ratio,

CTO: Cash Turnover,

$e$ : Error

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Descriptive Statistical Analysis**

Based on the sampling criteria, 112 companies were obtained, so that a total of 336 observations were obtained during the study period. Table 3 below presents a description of the data on each research variable.

	ROE <sub>t</sub>	ROE <sub>t+1</sub>	MVAIC	SIZE	DAR	CTO
Mean	0,10	0,09	5,54	54.913.354	0,56	9,58
Max	0,32	0,32	20,12	1.126.248.442	1,00	57,21
Min	-0,12	-1,21	1,61	175.744	0,07	0,21
Std. Dev	0,08	0,11	2,83	162.051.751	0,23	9,30
Obs.	336	336	336	336	336	336

**Table 3.**  
 Descriptive statistics

Notes:  $ROE_t$  = Return on Equity year t;  $ROE_{t+1}$  = Return on Equity year t+1; MVAIC = Modified Value Added Intellectual Coefficient; SIZE = Firm size (in millions); DAR = Debt to Asset Ratio; CTO = Cash Turnover.

The variable of company's financial performance ( $ROE_t$ ) shows an average value of 0.10. It shows that the level of return on investment produced by companies with high intellectual capital intensity is 10%. The variable of  $ROE_t$  company financial performance has a maximum value of 0.32 and a minimum value of -0.12 with a standard deviation of 0.08. The variable of  $ROE_{t+1}$  has an average value of 0.09, lower than the average company performance in the current year. The maximum value of  $ROE_{t+1}$  is 0.32 and the minimum value is -1.21 with a standard deviation of 0.11. The variable of intellectual capital performance (MVAIC) shows an average value of 5.54. It shows that the average intellectual capital performance of companies with high intellectual capital intensity can still be improved because there are companies with intellectual capital performance values of 20.12. MVAIC shows a minimum value of 1.61 with a standard deviation of 2.83.

The firm size variable (SIZE) shows an average value of 54,913,354, a maximum value of 1,126,248,442, a minimum value of 175,744 and a standard deviation of 162,051,751. The firm size variable is calculated from the total assets owned by the company, so to obtain meaningful results, the original value of total assets (in millions) is used. The DAR variable shows an average value of 0.56. it means that most of the assets owned by a group of companies with high intellectual capital intensity are financed by debt. The maximum value of DAR is 1.00 and the minimum value is 0.07 with a standard deviation of 0.23. The CTO variable shows an average value of 9.58, which means that the average cash turnover rate of companies with high intellectual capital intensity is 9.58 times. The CTO variable has a maximum value of 57.21 and a minimum value of 0.21 with a standard deviation of 9.30.

### Hypothesis Testing Results

Before testing the hypothesis, first, the best model is selected for each regression equation. The results of the model specification test show that the best estimation model in the first equation is fixed effect and the best estimation model in the second equation is random effect. Table 4 below presents the results of testing the research hypothesis.

Variable	ROEt (fixed effect model)			ROEt+1 (random effect model)		
	coefficient	t-statistic	prob.	coefficient	t-statistic	prob.
C	-0,243	-0,621	0,535	-0,240	-0,157	0,115
MVAIC	0,020	11,465	0,000	0,003	1,110	0,268
SIZE	0,007	0,568	0,570	0,011	2,092	0,037
DAR	0,017	0,461	0,645	-0,051	-1,344	0,179
CTO	0,001	1,012	0,312	0,002	2,047	0,041
F-statistic	12,798			2,165		
Prob F-stat	0,000			0,072		
Adj. R2	0,801			0,013		
N	336			336		

Table 4. Hypothesis Testing Results

Notes:  $ROE_t$  = Return on Equity year t;  $ROE_{t+1}$  = Return on Equity year t+1; MVAIC = Modified Value Added Intellectual Coefficient; SIZE = Firm size (in millions); DAR = Debt to Asset Ratio; CTO = Cash Turnover.

Based on the MVAIC hypothesis testing on  $ROE_t$ , the results show that the performance of intellectual capital has a significant positive effect on the company's financial performance for the current year. In table 4 the MVAIC variable shows a coefficient value of 0.020 with a probability value of 0.000. Therefore, the research hypothesis which states that intellectual capital performance has a positive effect on the company's financial

performance in the current year (H1a) is acceptable. The results of this study are in line with researches conducted by Ulum, Kharismawati, and Syam (2017) and Smriti and Das (2018) which state that the performance of intellectual capital has a positive effect on the company's financial performance. It is believed that intellectual capital performance can affect financial performance. It means that the better the intellectual capital performance make the better the company's financial performance. Intellectual capital can gradually be accepted as a producer of wealth to improve financial performance, competitive advantage, and long-term business sustainability. The results of the analysis in Table 4 also show that the control variables used in this study have no significant effect on the company's financial performance in the current year (ROEt).

In testing the effect of MVAIC on ROEt+1 shows a coefficient value of 0.003 with a significance level of 0.268. Based on these results, it can be said that intellectual capital performance does not have a significant effect on future financial performance. Thus, the research hypothesis that predicts that intellectual capital performance a positive effect on the company's future financial performance (H1b) is rejected. The results of this study are not in line with the research conducted by Ulum, Kharismawati, and Syam (2017). However, if viewed from the resulting coefficient value, the effect of MVAIC on ROEt+1 shows a positive direction. Although the effect is not significant, the direction of the regression coefficient which is positive indicates that IC performance has the potential to improve the company's financial performance in the future.

One of the factors that may influence the inconsistency of the results of this study with previous research is the company's strategic policy in IC management. The company's management may only focus on IC management to improve performance in the current period. The added value generated from the company's IC management is only able to increase the efficiency of the use of company resources in the same period. This is reasonable because the strategic policies taken by company management in managing company resources can differ or change from one period to another. In addition, external factors such as the level of competition and economic conditions may also affect the company's financial performance in the future. It means that company policies can be efficient and effective in the current year, but not necessarily in accordance with the situation and conditions in the following year. In testing the effect of IC on future financial performance, there are control variables that have a positive and significant effect, namely firm size and cash turnover rate. These results indicate that the management of tangible resources in a group of companies with high intellectual capital intensity is still an important factor in maintaining the company's long-term performance.

#### Additional Analysis

We conducted an additional analysis by examining the effect of each of the components that make up MVAIC, namely HCE, SCE, RCE, and CEE on the company's financial performance. Human Capital Efficiency (HCE) is the efficiency of the ability and knowledge of human resources in the company in increasing the company's competitive advantage. Structural Capital Efficiency (SCE) is the efficiency of a company's ability to create infrastructure, Relational Capital Efficiency (RCE) is the efficiency in marketing and customer relations, and Capital Employed Efficiency (CEE) which is the efficiency of the capital used.



Variable	ROEt (fixed effect model)				ROEt+1 (random effect model)			
	Coef.	t-statistic	prob.	Adj. R-square	Coef.	t-statistic	prob.	Adj. R-square
HCE	0,021	11,199	0,000	0,798	0,003	0,912	0,501	0,012
SCE	0,392	10,216	0,000	0,785	0,056	1,097	0,273	0,014
RCE	-0,504	-5,376	0,000	0,725	0,061	0,905	0,366	0,013
CEE	0,433	6,517	0,000	0,734	0,045	1,535	0,126	0,017

**Table 5.**  
Analysis Results  
Per Component

Notes: ROEt = Return on Equity year t; ROEt+1 = Return on Equity year t+1; HCE= Human Capital Efficiency; SCE= Structural Capital Efficiency; RCE= Relational Capital Efficiency; CEE= Capital Employed Efficiency.

From the overall additional analysis on the components that make up the MVAIC that have been done, it can be seen that HCE, SCE, and CEE have a significant positive effect on the company's financial performance for the current year. Meanwhile, RCE has a significant negative effect. If viewed from the regression coefficient value for each component, it is known that CEE and SCE have the strongest effect on current year financial performance (ROEt). These results are consistent with the results of research by Smriti & Das (2018) that found that CEE and SCE are the biggest contributors to increasing company growth. Furthermore, the per-component analysis results on future financial performance (ROEt+1) show consistent results with previous tests. It means that HCE, SCE, RCE, and CEE have no significant effect on the company's future financial performance.

Furthermore, we conduct additional analysis by examining the effect of intellectual capital performance (MVAIC) on the financial performance of companies in groups of companies with low intellectual capital intensity (Low-IC Intensive Industries). The results of the analysis in Table 5 show results that are consistent with testing on groups of companies with high IC intensity. However, when viewed from the value of the regression coefficient and the value of the coefficient of determination (adj. R<sup>2</sup>). It can be said that the influence of IC on the company's financial performance is stronger in companies with high IC intensity compared to companies with low IC intensity. Overall, the results of this study provide an overview to company owners and management about the importance of IC in improving the company's financial performance.

Variable	ROEt (fixed effect model)			ROEt+1 (fixed effect model)		
	coefficient	t-stat	prob.	coefficient	t-stat	prob.
C	2,751	0,518	0,606	-3,846	-0,725	0,470
MVAIC	0,016	2,182	0,032	0,002	0,248	0,805
SIZE	-0,089	-0,497	0,620	0,125	0,700	0,485
DAR	-0,389	-0,977	0,331	0,612	1,533	0,129
CTO	0,002	3,001	0,581	0,001	3,613	0,001
F-statistic			5,734			5,275
Prob F-stat			0,000			0,000
Adj. R2			0,627			0,603
N			153			153

**Table 6.**  
Analysis Results  
on Intensive  
Low-IC  
Companies

Notes: ROEt = Return on Equity year t; ROEt+1 = Return on Equity year t+1; MVAIC = Modified Value Added Intellectual Coefficient; SIZE = firm size (in millions); DAR = Debt to Asset Ratio; CTO = Cash Turnover.

### 10.3 CONCLUSION

The results of the analysis show that the performance of intellectual capital has a positive effect on the company's financial performance in the current year. The better the

performance of intellectual capital in the company has in line with the better the resulting company's financial performance. Capital Employed Efficiency (CEE) and Structural Capital Efficiency (SCE) are the components that have the strongest influence on the company's financial performance in the current year. However, this study has not been able to prove a significant effect of the performance of intellectual capital on the company's future financial performance.

This study has several limitations. First, this study has not considered the business strategy and the company's competitive conditions in each observation period. Second, this study uses only one IC measurement, namely MVAIC. Even though there are still other intellectual capital performance measures such as the VAIC-extended developed by Bayraktaroglu, Calisir and Baskak (2019) with take advantage of the cost of innovation, research and development. This study did not use the VAIC-Extended model because there were still few companies that did disclose the costs of innovation, research and development.

Third, this study has not analyzed companies based on industrial sector classifications. Therefore, further research is expected to develop this research by considering the business strategies used by the company and considering the conditions of business competition in the observation period. In addition, further research is also expected to make comparisons using a more comprehensive alternative to IC measurement, for example, VAIC-Extended (see Bayraktaroglu, Calisir and Baskak, 2019). Furthermore, future researchers can conduct an analysis that more in-depth by classifying companies based on the industrial sector on the Indonesia Stock Exchange.

#### REFERENCES

- Alfrah, M. M. (2018) 'Intellectual capital reporting and its relation to market and financial performance', *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, 34(3), pp. 266–281. doi: 10.1108/IJOES-02-2017-0034.
- Allameh, S. M. (2018) 'Antecedents and Consequences of Intellectual Capital: The Role of Social Capital, Knowledge Sharing and Innovation', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 19(5), pp. 858-874.
- Anifowose, M., Rashid, H. M. A. and Annuar, H. A. (2017) 'Intellectual Capital Disclosure and Corporate Market Value: Does Board Diversity Matter?', *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, (3), pp. 266–281.
- Barney, J. (1991) 'Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage', *Journal of Management*, pp. 99–120. doi: 10.1177/014920639101700108.
- Bayraktaroglu, A. E., Calisir, F. and Baskak, M. (2019) 'Intellectual capital and firm performance: an extended VAIC model', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 20(3), pp. 406–425. doi: 10.1108/JIC-12-2017-0184.
- Bentoen, S. (2012) 'Pengaruh Intellectual Capital terhadap Financial Performance, Growth, dan Market Value', in *National Conference Universitas Pelita Harapan*. Surabaya.
- Berzkalne, I. and Zelgalve, E. (2014) 'Intellectual Capital and Company Value', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 110, pp. 887–896. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.12.934.
- Chen, M. C., Cheng, S. J. and Hwang, Y. (2005) 'An empirical investigation of the relationship between intellectual capital and firms' market value and financial performance', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 6(2), pp. 159–176. doi: 10.1108/14691930510592771.

Clarke, M., Seng, D. and Whiting, R. H. (2011) 'Intellectual capital and firm performance in Australia', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 12(4), pp. 505–530. doi: 10.1108/14691931111181706.

Dženopoljac, V., Janošević, S. and Bontis, N. (2016) 'Intellectual capital and financial performance in the Serbian ICT industry', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 17(2), pp. 373–396. doi: 10.1108/JIC-07-2015-0068.

Gewati, M. (2019) *Alasan Pemerintah Jokowi-JK Fokus Bangun Infrastruktur*. Available at: [ps://money.kompas.com/read/2019/03/26/084500826/alasan-pemerintah-jokowi-jk-fokus-bangun-infrastruktur?page=all#:~:text=KOMPAS.com - Sektor infrastruktur menjadi,di pelbagai wilayah tanah air.](https://money.kompas.com/read/2019/03/26/084500826/alasan-pemerintah-jokowi-jk-fokus-bangun-infrastruktur?page=all#:~:text=KOMPAS.com - Sektor infrastruktur menjadi,di pelbagai wilayah tanah air.)

Hamdan, A. (2018) 'Intellectual capital and firm performance: Differentiating between accounting-based and market-based performance', *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 11(1), pp. 139–151. doi: 10.1108/IMEFM-02-2017-0053.

Han, Y. and & Li, D. (2015) 'Effects Of Intellectual Capital on Innovative Performance: The Role of Knowledge-Based Dynamic Capability Management Decision', 53(1), 40–56. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-08-2013-0411>.

Hejazi, R., Ghanbari, M. and Alipour, M. (2016) 'Intellectual, Human and Structural Capital Effects on Firm Performances measured by Tobin's Q', *Knowledge and Process Management*, 23(4), pp. 259–273.

Hermawan, S., Hanun, N. R. and Pamungkas, N. (2019) 'Intellectual Capital, Financial Performance and Market Performance: Evidence From High IC Intensive Company in Indonesia', *Jurnal Dinamika Akuntansi*, 11(2), pp. 98–107.

Kamukama, N. and Sulait, T. (2017) 'Intellectual capital and competitive advantage in Uganda's microfinance industry', *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 8(4), pp. 498–514. doi: 10.1108/AJEMS-02-2017-0021.

Maditinos, D. *et al.* (2011) 'The impact of intellectual capital on firms' market value and financial performance', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 12(1), pp. 132–151. doi: 10.1108/14691931111097944.

Maji, S. G., and Goswami, M. (2017) 'Intellectual Capital and Firm Performance in India: a Comparative Study between Original and Modified Value Added Intellectual Coefficient Model', *Intellectual Journal Learning and Intellectual Capital*, 14(1), pp. 76–89. doi: 10.1054/IJLIC.2017.10000631.

Maulita, D. and Tania, I. (2018) 'Pengaruh Debt To Equity Ratio (Der), Debt To Asset Ratio (Dar), Dan Long Term Debt To Equity Ratio (Lder) Terhadap Profitabilitas', *Jurnal Akuntansi : Kajian Ilmiah Akuntansi (JAK)*, 5(2), pp. 132. doi: 10.30656/jak.v5i2.669.

Mehralian, G. *et al.* (2012) 'The impact of intellectual capital efficiency on market value: An empirical study from Iranian pharmaceutical companies', *Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 11(1), pp. 195–207.

Nimtrakoon, S. (2015) 'The Relationship between Intellectual Capital, Firms Market Value and Financial Performance: Empirical Evidence from the ASEAN', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 16(3), pp. 587–618.

PT Bursa Efek Indonesia. (2015). from [www.idx.co.id](http://www.idx.co.id): <https://www.idx.co.id/data-pasar/laporan-statistik/fact-book/>, has been accessed on January 12, 2020.

- Pulic, A. (2000) 'VAIC™ – An Accounting Tool for Intellectual Capital Management', *International Journal Technology Management*, 20(5/6/7/8), pp. 702–714.
- Rahayu, E. A. and Susilowibowo, J. (2014) 'Eka Ayu Rahayu dan Joni Susilowibowo; Pengaruh Perputaran Kas ...', *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen*, 2(4), pp. 1444–1455.
- Rahayu, M. and Sari, B. (2018) 'Kepemilikan Institusional, Manajemen Laba dan Leverage terhadap Kinerja Perusahaan', *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis*, 2(1), pp. 67–78.
- Riahi-Belkaoui, A. (2003) 'Intellectual capital and firm performance of US multinational firms: A study of the resource-based and stakeholder views', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 4(2), pp. 215–226. doi: 10.1108/14691930310472839.
- Scafarto, V., Ricci, F. and Scafarto, F. (2016) 'Intellectual Capital and Firm Performance in the Global Agribusiness Industry: the Moderating Role of Human Capital', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 17(3), p.
- Schwab, K. (2017) *The Global Competitiveness Report 2016-2017*. Available at: [www3.forum.org](http://www3.forum.org).
- Sharabati, A. A. A., Jawad, S. N. and Bontis, N. (2010) 'Intellectual capital and business performance in the pharmaceutical sector of Jordan', *Management Decision*, 48(1), pp. 105–131. doi: 10.1108/00251741011014481.
- Smriti, N. and Das, N. (2018) 'The impact of intellectual capital on firm performance: a study of Indian firms listed in COSPI', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 19(5), pp. 935–964. doi: 10.1108/JIC-11-2017-0156.
- Tisna, G. A. and Agustami, S. (2016) 'Pengaruh Good Corporate Governance dan Ukuran Perusahaan terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Perusahaan (Pada Perusahaan Perbankan yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia (BEI) Tahun 2010-2014)', *Jurnal Riset Akuntansi dan Keuangan*, 4(2), pp. 1035–1046.
- Ulum, I., Ghozali, I. and Agus (2014) 'Konstruksi Model Pengukuran Kinerja Dan', *Jurnal Akuntansi Multiparadigma JAMAL*, 5(3), pp. 345–510.
- Ulum, I., Ghozali, I. and Chariri, A. (2008) 'Intellectual Capital Dan Kinerja Keuangan Perusahaan ; Suatu Analisis Dengan Pendekatan Partial Least Squares', *Symposium Nasional Akuntansi XI*, 19(19), pp. 23–24.
- Ulum, I., Kharismawati, N. and Syam, D. (2017) 'Modified value-added intellectual coefficient (MVAIC) and traditional financial performance of Indonesian biggest companies', *International Journal of Learning and Intellectual Capital*, 14(3), pp. 207–219. doi: 10.1504/IJLIC.2017.086390.
- Vodenko, K. K., & Lyausheva, S. A. (2020). 'Science and Education in the Form 4.0: Public and Policy and Organization based on Human and Artificial Intellectual Capital', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 21(4), pp. 549-564. doi: [10.1108/JIC-11-2019-0274](https://doi.org/10.1108/JIC-11-2019-0274)
- Wernelfelt, B. (1984) 'A Resource-based view of the firm', *Strategic Management Journal*, 5(2), pp. 171–180.
- Williams, S. M. (2001) 'Is Intellectual Capital Performance and Disclosure', *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 2(3), pp. 192–203. doi: 10.1108/14691930010348731.
- Zhang, M. et al. (2018) 'How does intellectual capital affect product innovation performance? Evidence from China and India', *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 38(3), pp. 895–914. doi: 10.1108/IJOPM-10-2016-0612.

# Artikel Bella dan Wahyu

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

23%

SIMILARITY INDEX

22%

INTERNET SOURCES

12%

PUBLICATIONS

7%

STUDENT PAPERS

## PRIMARY SOURCES

1	<a href="http://ejournal.umm.ac.id">ejournal.umm.ac.id</a> Internet Source	8%
2	<a href="http://eprints.umm.ac.id">eprints.umm.ac.id</a> Internet Source	2%
3	<a href="http://eprints.unsri.ac.id">eprints.unsri.ac.id</a> Internet Source	1%
4	<a href="http://repository.unib.ac.id">repository.unib.ac.id</a> Internet Source	1%
5	<a href="http://www.coursehero.com">www.coursehero.com</a> Internet Source	1%
6	<a href="http://www.scribd.com">www.scribd.com</a> Internet Source	1%
7	<a href="http://www.tandfonline.com">www.tandfonline.com</a> Internet Source	1%
8	<a href="http://repository.unika.ac.id">repository.unika.ac.id</a> Internet Source	1%
9	Hadeel Yaseen, Asma'a Al-Amarneh. "Intellectual capital and financial performance:	1%

# Case of the emerging market banks", Journal of Governance and Regulation, 2021

Publication

---

10	<a href="http://econjournals.com">econjournals.com</a> Internet Source	1%
11	Submitted to Udayana University Student Paper	1%
12	B C Pratama, Ismoyowati, M N Innayah. "Livestock and Animal Specialities Company in ASEAN: Intellectual Capitals and Performances", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2019 Publication	1%
13	<a href="http://journal.unnes.ac.id">journal.unnes.ac.id</a> Internet Source	1%
14	<a href="http://zulfah-ulva.blogspot.com">zulfah-ulva.blogspot.com</a> Internet Source	<1%
15	Submitted to Pondicherry University Student Paper	<1%
16	<a href="http://mafiadoc.com">mafiadoc.com</a> Internet Source	<1%
17	<a href="http://www.sgbed.com">www.sgbed.com</a> Internet Source	<1%
18	Submitted to Trisakti University Student Paper	<1%

---

19

Demetris Vrontis, Michael Christofi, Enrico Battisti, Elvira Anna Graziano. "Intellectual capital, knowledge sharing and equity crowdfunding", Journal of Intellectual Capital, 2020

Publication

<1%

20

e-repository.unsyiah.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

21

Submitted to Universiti Teknologi MARA

Student Paper

<1%

22

repository.uin-malang.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

23

Pipit Iswari, Eko Arief Sudaryono, Wahyu Widarjo. "Political connection and tax aggressiveness: A study on the state-owned enterprises registered in Indonesia stock exchange", Journal of International Studies, 2019

Publication

<1%

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 15 words

Exclude bibliography On



**LEMBAR**  
**HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW**  
**KARYA ILMIAH : JURNAL ILMIAH**

Judul Jurnal Ilmiah (Artikel) : The Effect of Intellectual Capital Performance on the Company's Financial Performance  
 Penulis Jurnal Ilmiah : Bella Pramathana, Wahyu Widarjo  
 Identitas Jurnal Ilmiah : a. Nama Jurnal : Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan  
 b. Nomor ISSN : p-ISSN: 2088-0685 e-ISSN: 2615-2223  
 c. Volume, Nomor, Edisi : 10, 3, Desember 2020  
 d. Penerbit : Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang  
 e. DOI artikel (jika ada) : <https://doi.org/10.22219/jrak.v10i3.13273>

Kategori Publikasi Jurnal Ilmiah (beri  $\checkmark$  pada kategori yang tepat) :

	Jurnal Ilmiah Internasional
$\checkmark$	Jurnal Ilmiah Nasional Terakreditasi
	Jurnal Ilmiah Nasional Tidak Terakreditasi

Hasil Penilaian Validasi :

No	Aspek	Uraian/Komentar Penilaian
1	Indikasi Plagiasi	Hasil cek turnitin 23%. Tidak ada indikasi plagiasi, karena tingkat kemiripan dengan sumber primer sebesar 8% berasal dari abstract artikel yang dipublikasi di website jurnal.
2	Linearitas	Topik karya ilmiah sesuai dengan bidang ilmu akuntansi.

Hasil Penilaian Peer Review :

Komponen yang Dinilai		Nilai Maksimal Jurnal Ilmiah			Nilai Akhir yang Diperoleh
		Internasional <input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	Nasional Terakreditasi <input checked="" style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	Nasional Tidak Terakreditasi <input style="width: 20px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	
a.	Kelengkapan unsur isi Jurnal (10%)		2.5		2.5
b.	Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%)		7.5		7
c.	Kecukupan dan kemutakhiran data / informasi dan metodologi (30%)		7.5		7.5
d.	Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas penerbit (30%)		7.5		7
Total = (100%)			25		24
e.	Kontribusi Pengusul Sebagai : Anggota Penulis Koresponden/Co-author : Koresponden Author Urutan Author : 2 Jumlah Anggota : 1 Prosentase : (50%) (50% * 25)		12.5		
TOTAL NILAI (0,5 * 24)		12			



**Komentar/Ulasan Peer Review**

Karya ilmiah sudah memenuhi kriteria sebagai publikasi pada jurnal nasional terakreditasi Sinta 2

**Catatan Penilaian Artikel oleh Reviewer :**

**1. Kesesuaian dan Kelengkapan unsur isi jurnal :** Karya ilmiah sudah memenuhi semua unsur kelengkapan yang sesuai dengan standar publikasi di jurnal nasional terakreditasi Sinta 2.

**2. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan :** Topik penelitian tentang kinerja keuangan yang dikaitkan dengan kinerja keuangan perusahaan sangat relevan dengan bidang ilmu akuntansi dan isu pentingnya sumber daya tak berwujud sebagai driver penciptaan nilai perusahaan. Hasil analisis dan pembahasan sudah dijelaskan dengan baik dan mendalam. Selain itu, pembahasan hasil penelitian juga didukung dengan referensi yang relevan dengan topik yang diteliti.

**3. Kecukupan dan kemutakhiran data/informasi dan metodologi :** Data yang digunakan sudah memenuhi standar pengujian data secara statistik. Selain itu, data yang digunakan sudah mutakhir. Teknik analisis data dan pengujian hipotesis sudah sesuai dengan tujuan penelitian dan sudah dijelaskan dengan baik.

**4. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas terbitan :** Jurnal sudah memenuhi kelengkapan unsur terbitan berkala ilmiah nasional bereputasi. Penerbit jurnal merupakan lembaga pendidikan yang kredibel yaitu Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang. Hasil penelusuran jurnal di laman <https://sinta.kemdikbud.go.id/journals/detail?id=2990> menunjukkan bahwa jurnal tersebut terindeks Sinta 2 dengan H-Index 18 dan sitasi selama 5 tahun terakhir sebesar 1102. Artinya jurnal tersebut memiliki impact factor yang cukup tinggi.

Surakarta, 5 Januari 2022.

Reviewer



**Prof. Drs. Djoko Suhardjanto, M.Com.(Hons)., Ph.D., Ak**

NIP. 196302031989031006

Unit Kerja : Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis

**LEMBAR**  
**HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW**  
**KARYA ILMIAH : JURNAL ILMIAH**

Judul Jurnal Ilmiah (Artikel) : The Effect of Intellectual Capital Performance on the Company's Financial Performance  
 Penulis Jurnal Ilmiah : Bella Pramathana, Wahyu Widarjo  
 Identitas Jurnal Ilmiah : a. Nama Jurnal : Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan  
 b. Nomor ISSN : p-ISSN: 2088-0685 e-ISSN: 2615-2223  
 c. Volume, Nomor, Edisi : 10, 3, Desember 2020  
 d. Penerbit : Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang  
 e. DOI artikel (jika ada) : <https://doi.org/10.22219/jrak.v10i3.13273>

Kategori Publikasi Jurnal Ilmiah (beri ✓ pada kategori yang tepat) :

- Jurnal Ilmiah Internasional  
 Jurnal Ilmiah Nasional Terakreditasi  
 Jurnal Ilmiah Nasional Tidak Terakreditasi

Hasil Penilaian Validasi :

No	Aspek	Uraian/Komentar Penilaian
1	Indikasi Plagiasi	Hasil cek turnitin 23%. Tidak ada indikasi plagiasi. Meskipun tingkat kemiripan dengan sumber primer ada yang 8%, tetapi setelah ditelusur, kemiripan tersebut bersumber dari website jurnal. Oleh karena itu dapat disimpulkan tidak ada plagiasi dalam karya ilmiah ini.
2	Lincaritas	Topik karya ilmiah sesuai dengan bidang ilmu akuntansi.

Hasil Penilaian Peer Review :

Komponen yang Dinilai	Nilai Maksimal Jurnal Ilmiah			Nilai Akhir yang Diperoleh
	Internasional <input type="checkbox"/>	Nasional Terakreditasi <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nasional Tidak Terakreditasi <input type="checkbox"/>	
a. Kelengkapan unsur isi Jurnal (10%)		2.5		2.5
b. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%)		7.5		7
c. Kecukupan dan kemutakhiran data / informasi dan metodologi (30%)		7.5		7
d. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas penerbit (30%)		7.5		7.5
<b>Total = (100%)</b>				<b>23.5</b>
e. Kontribusi Pengusul Sebagai : Anggota Penulis Koresponden/Co-author : Koresponden Author Urutan Author : 2 Jumlah Anggota : 1 Prosentase : (50%) (50% * 25)		12.5		
<b>TOTAL NILAI</b> (0.5 * 23.5)		<b>11.75</b>		

**Komentar/Ulasan Peer Review**

Karya ilmiah sudah memenuhi kriteria sebagai publikasi pada jurnal nasional terakreditasi sinta 2.

**Catatan Penilaian Artikel oleh Reviewer :**

- 1. Kesesuaian dan Kelengkapan unsur isi jurnal :** Karya ilmiah sudah memenuhi semua unsur kelengkapan yang sesuai dengan standar publikasi di jurnal nasional bereputasi.
- 2. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan :** Ruang lingkup penelitian sudah sesuai dengan ruang lingkup jurnal. Topik penelitian tentang kinerja keuangan perusahaan sudah sesuai dengan bidang ilmu akuntansi. Pembahasan hasil penelitian sudah dijelaskan dengan runtut dan sistematis. Pembahasan hasil penelitian juga sudah didukung dengan literatur yang relevan dengan topik penelitian.
- 3. Kecukupan dan kemutakhiran data/informasi dan metodologi :** Data penelitian sudah memenuhi unsur kecukupan dalam analisis data statistik. Selain itu data yang digunakan juga sudah mutakhir. Teknik sampling dan definisi operasional serta pengukuran variabel sudah dideskripsikan dengan baik. Metode dan teknik analisis data sudah tepat dan sesuai dengan tujuan penelitian.
- 4. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas terbitan :** Publisher jurnal merupakan perguruan tinggi yang kredibel. Para penulis artikel di jurnal tersebut juga berasal dari berbagai perguruan tinggi di Indonesia. Masing-masing artikel yang dipublikasi di jurnal tersebut juga sudah memiliki DOI.

Surakarta, 6 Januari 2022  
Reviewer 2



**Dr. Eko Arief Sudarvono, M.Si., Ak**  
NIP. 196112311988031006  
Unit Kerja : Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis